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The short answer is yes. There are an infinite number of solutions. However, some interesting results appear in connection to this question. I occasionally do Jigsaw puzzles, and I usually work on the edge pieces first. That's how I became interested in this topic.

Note: If you are new to jigsaws, there are quite a few options for doing Jigsaw puzzles. There are many available in stores or online. More choices are available online when you do an internet search for software. Some are free and some require the purchase of a license. I like the option of creating my own puzzles from jpg files, which some Jigsaw companies offer.

Let's start with some definitions and formulas:
Let $\mathrm{x}=$ the number of pieces on the shorter side.
let $\mathrm{y}=$ the number of pieces on the longer side.
let $\mathrm{e}=$ the number of edge pieces.
let $f=$ the ratio of total pieces to edge pieces.
Let $d=y-x$ (the difference between the long side and the short side).
Now let $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x} \mathrm{y}=$ total pieces.
$e=2 x+2 y-4$ (true for any rectangular jigsaw puzzle)
$\mathrm{f}=\frac{t}{e}$

We are interested in positive integer solutions for $f$.
We can assume that $x>1$ because if $x=1$ we just get a single row of pieces which is trivial.
Before talking about solutions, we have a few theorems in order to hopefully eliminate some possibilities: Most of the theorems are fairly basic and the proofs are short.

Theorem 1 is somewhat trivial and concerns jigsaw puzzles with only 2 rows of pieces.

Theorem 1 If and only if $x=2$ and $y$ is any positive integer, then $f=1$ and $t=e$
Proof: $t=2 y$ and $e=2 * 2+2 y-4=2 y$

$$
\text { So } \mathrm{f}=\frac{t}{e}=\frac{2 y}{2 y}=1, \text { and every piece in the puzzle is an edge piece. }
$$

Note: If $x>2$, then of course $t>e$.

Theorem 2 If $x=3$, There is no solution for integer $f$.
Proof: $\mathrm{t}=3 \mathrm{y}$, and $\mathrm{f}=\frac{t}{e}=\frac{3 y}{6+2 y-4}=\frac{3 y}{2 y+2}$
Since $y \geq 3$, this fraction $f$ takes on values on the interval $\left[\frac{9}{8}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ as $y$ takes on values from 3 to $\infty$. The next possible integer value of $f$ is 2 , so there is no solution for $x=3$.

Theorem 3 If $x=4$, there is no solution for integer $f$.
Proof: $\mathrm{t}=4 \mathrm{y}$, and $\mathrm{f}=\frac{t}{e}=\frac{4 y}{2(4+y)-4}=\frac{4 y}{2 y+4}=\frac{2 y}{y+2}$
Since $y \geq 4$, this fraction $f$ takes on values on the interval $\left[\frac{4}{3}, 2\right)$ without reaching 2 , as $y$ takes on values from 4 to $\infty$.

The next possible integer value of $f$ is 2 , so there is no solution for $x=4$.

Theorem 4 x and y cannot both be odd.
Proof: If x and y are both odd then t is odd. We have $\mathrm{f}=\frac{t}{2 x+2 y-4}$
The numerator is odd and the denominator is even, so $x$ and $y$ both odd is not possible.

Theorem 5 x and y cannot be equal, so square jigsaw puzzles are not possible with integer f .
Proof: $t=x^{2}$

$$
\mathrm{f}=\frac{t}{e}=\frac{x^{\wedge} 2}{4 x-4}
$$

## Case I

In the case of an even square where $x=2 m$, we have $\frac{4 m^{\wedge} 2}{8 m-4}=\frac{m^{\wedge} 2}{2 m-1}$
If this fraction is equivalent to an integer, then $k(2 m-1)=m^{2}$
We have: $\mathrm{m}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~km}+\mathrm{k}=0 \quad$ so $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{k}+\sqrt{k(k-1)}$
For $m$ to be an integer $k(k-1)$ must be a perfect square.
However, consecutive integers do not share any factors. Therefore, k and $\mathrm{k}-1$ must be consecutive perfect squares which is impossible.

Case II
In the case where $\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{~m}+1$, we have $\mathrm{f}=\frac{4 m^{2}+4 m+1}{8 m}$, but this is also impossible since an even number cannot divide an odd number. Therefore, x and y cannot be equal.

Theorem 6 y cannot be a multiple of x .
Proof: Suppose $y=a x$, then $t=x y=a x^{2}$
$e=2(x+a x)-4$ or $e=x(2+2 a)-4$
We now have $\mathrm{f}=\frac{x * x * a}{x(2+2 a)-4}$ and we know that $\mathrm{x} \geq 5$ from previous work.
If $\mathrm{x}=5$ we have $\mathrm{f}=\frac{25 a}{5(2+2 a)-4}$ and a number of the form 25 ror $5 r$ cannot be divisible by a number of the form 5r-4.

If $\mathrm{x}=6$ we have $\mathrm{f}=\frac{36 a}{6(2+2 a)-4}$ and again, a number of the form 36 r or 6 r cannot be divisible by a number of the form $6 r-4$

For $\mathrm{x} \geq 7$ let us look at the general case $\mathrm{f}=\frac{x * x * a}{x(2+2 a)-4}$ The numerator is of the form xr and the denominator is of the form $\mathrm{xr}-4$. Numbers of the form $\mathrm{xr}-4$ can never divide numbers of the form xr with $\mathrm{x} \geq 7$.

Therefore, for all $\mathrm{x} \geq 5, \mathrm{f}$ cannot be an integer. This proves that y cannot be a multiple of x .

Theorem 7 If $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{x}=2$ there are an infinite number of solutions.
Proof: If $\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{x}=2$ then $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x}+2)$ and $\mathrm{e}=2 \mathrm{x}+2(\mathrm{x}+2)-4=4 \mathrm{x}$
We have $\mathrm{f}=\frac{x(x+2)}{4 x}=\frac{x+2}{4}$ and we can solve for any f .

Example A: Suppose we wish the number of edge pieces to be exactly half the total number of pieces.
So, f is 2 and we have $\frac{x+2}{4}=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X+2 & =8 \\
X & =6 \quad \text { and therefore } y=8
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{t}=48$ and $\mathrm{e}=24$

Example B: suppose we wish $f$ to be 3 .
We have $\frac{x+2}{4}=3$
$X+2=12$
$\mathrm{X}=10$ and therefore $\mathrm{y}=12$ giving us $\mathrm{t}=120$ and $\mathrm{e}=40$

Example C: suppose we wish f to be 73 .
We have $\frac{x+2}{4}=73$
$X+2=292$
$X=290$ and $y=292$ giving us $t=84680$ and $e=1160$

The following table gives a solution for $f=2$ through 20 where $y-x=2$. Incidentally, these are also the jigsaws of smallest area with those $f$ values. Other solutions exist for these $f$ values when $y-x>2$.

Table 1

| $f=t / e$ | x = width | y = length | t = total <br> pieces | e = edge <br> pieces |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 | 8 | 48 | 24 |
| 3 | 10 | 12 | 120 | 40 |
| 4 | 14 | 16 | 224 | 56 |
| 5 | 18 | 20 | 360 | 72 |
| 6 | 22 | 24 | 528 | 88 |
| 7 | 26 | 28 | 728 | 104 |
| 8 | 30 | 32 | 960 | 120 |
| 9 | 34 | 36 | 1224 | 136 |
| 10 | 38 | 40 | 1520 | 152 |
| 11 | 42 | 44 | 1848 | 168 |
| 12 | 46 | 48 | 2208 | 184 |
| 13 | 50 | 52 | 2600 | 200 |
| 14 | 54 | 56 | 3024 | 216 |
| 15 | 58 | 60 | 3480 | 232 |
| 16 | 62 | 64 | 3968 | 248 |
| 17 | 66 | 68 | 4488 | 264 |
| 18 | 70 | 72 | 5040 | 280 |
| 19 | 74 | 76 | 5624 | 296 |
| 20 | 78 | 80 | 6240 | 312 |

Table 2 gives the solutions for jigsaw puzzles of minimum area with consecutive $x$ values where $x=$ width. Notice that when $x$ is a prime such as 31 or 43 , or when $x$ is an odd square like 49 ; $y$ is sometimes quite large compared to neighboring $y$ values due to the more difficult task of finding a solution with integer f.

Table 2

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X}= \\ \text { width } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Y}= \\ \text { length } \end{gathered}$ | $t=x y$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { e } \\ =\text { edge } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 12 | 60 | 30 | 2 |
| 6 | 8 | 48 | 24 | 2 |
| 7 | 30 | 210 | 70 | 3 |
| 8 | 18 | 144 | 48 | 3 |
| 9 | 14 | 126 | 42 | 3 |
| 10 | 12 | 120 | 40 | 3 |
| 11 | 24 | 264 | 66 | 4 |
| 12 | 20 | 240 | 60 | 4 |
| 13 | 132 | 1716 | 286 | 6 |
| 14 | 16 | 224 | 56 | 4 |
| 15 | 26 | 390 | 78 | 5 |
| 16 | 42 | 672 | 112 | 6 |
| 17 | 36 | 612 | 102 | 6 |
| 18 | 20 | 360 | 72 | 5 |
| 19 | 306 | 5814 | 646 | 9 |
| 20 | 27 | 540 | 90 | 6 |
| 21 | 38 | 798 | 114 | 7 |
| 22 | 24 | 528 | 88 | 6 |
| 23 | 48 | 1104 | 138 | 8 |
| 24 | 44 | 1056 | 132 | 8 |
| 25 | 92 | 2300 | 230 | 10 |
| 26 | 28 | 728 | 104 | 7 |
| 27 | 50 | 1350 | 150 | 9 |
| 28 | 65 | 1820 | 182 | 10 |
| 29 | 60 | 1740 | 174 | 10 |
| 30 | 32 | 960 | 120 | 8 |
| 31 | 870 | 26970 | 1798 | 15 |
| 32 | 50 | 1600 | 160 | 10 |
| 33 | 62 | 2046 | 186 | 11 |
| 34 | 36 | 1224 | 136 | 9 |
| 35 | 44 | 1540 | 154 | 10 |
| 36 | 68 | 2448 | 204 | 12 |
| 37 | 150 | 5550 | 370 | 15 |


| 38 | 40 | 1520 | 152 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 74 | 2886 | 222 | 13 |
| 40 | 57 | 2280 | 190 | 12 |
| 41 | 84 | 3444 | 246 | 14 |
| 42 | 44 | 1848 | 168 | 11 |
| 43 | 1722 | 74046 | 3526 | 21 |
| 44 | 90 | 3960 | 264 | 15 |
| 45 | 86 | 3870 | 258 | 15 |
| 46 | 48 | 2208 | 184 | 12 |
| 47 | 96 | 4512 | 282 | 16 |
| 48 | 92 | 4416 | 276 | 16 |
| 49 | 282 | 13818 | 658 | 21 |
| 50 | 52 | 2600 | 200 | 13 |

From table 1 and table 2, we see that every x value greater than or equal to 5 yields at least one solution, and every $f$ value greater than or equal to 2 yields multiple solutions.

Tables 3 and 4 are just to show that perfect squares and cubes are possible for the number of edge pieces.

Table 3 lists very specific cases where $e$ is a perfect square and $x \leq 100$. Although there appears to be an infinite number of solutions, there are only 5 solutions for $x$ below or equal to 100 . If we were to go a bit further, there are 18 solutions for x below 500 .

Table 3

| $x$ | $y$ | $t=x y$ | $e$ | $f=t / e$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 56 | 1008 | 144 | 7 |
| 50 | 152 | 7600 | 400 | 19 |
| 98 | 296 | 29008 | 784 | 37 |
| 98 | 1472 | 144256 | 3136 | 46 |
| 100 | 2352 | 235200 | 4900 | 48 |

Table 4 lists cases where e is a perfect cube and x is less than 1000.
Table 4

| x | y | $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{xy}$ | e | $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 56 | 3024 | 216 | 14 |
| 162 | 704 | 114048 | 1728 | 66 |
| 250 | 252 | 63000 | 1000 | 63 |
| 686 | 688 | 471968 | 2744 | 172 |

Triangular numbers are numbers of the form $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. If we ask whether both x and y can be triangular numbers, the answer is yes; but solutions seem to be somewhat scarce. Table 5 gives the only 8 solutions I could find with the restriction that both $x$ and $y$ are less than 50,000 . I was unable to find a formula to calculate these directly. It's interesting that both T88 and T168 show up twice.

Table 5

| x | y | $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{y}$ | $\mathrm{e}=$ edge | f | Triangular <br> Index for <br> x | Triangular <br> Index for <br> y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 78 | 171 | 13338 | 494 | 27 | T12 | T18 |
| 903 | 1378 | 1244334 | 4558 | 273 | T42 | T52 |
| 1770 | 24310 | 43028700 | 52156 | 825 | T59 | T220 |
| 2850 | 3916 | 11160600 | 13528 | 825 | T75 | T88 |
| 3916 | 5253 | 20570748 | 18334 | 1122 | T88 | T102 |
| 11325 | 14196 | 160769700 | 51038 | 3150 | T150 | T168 |
| 14196 | 17578 | 249537288 | 63544 | 3927 | T168 | T187 |
| 26106 | 31375 | 819075750 | 114958 | 7125 | T228 | T250 |

Now let us examine differences between y and x . Using a computer program, I did a search and found solutions for every difference up to $d=250$ with the exception of $d=1,3,4$, and 6 .

Table 6 gives minimum solutions for all known consecutive values of $d=y-x$ up to 25 .
Table 6

| $d=y-x$ | $\mathrm{x}=$ short <br> side | $\mathrm{y}=$ long <br> side | $\mathrm{t}=$ total <br> pieces | $\mathrm{e}=$ edge <br> pieces | $\mathrm{f}=\frac{t}{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 6 | 8 | 48 | 24 | 2 |
| 5 | 9 | 14 | 126 | 42 | 3 |
| 7 | 5 | 12 | 60 | 30 | 2 |
| 8 | 12 | 20 | 240 | 60 | 4 |
| 9 | 35 | 44 | 1540 | 154 | 10 |
| 10 | 8 | 18 | 144 | 48 | 3 |
| 11 | 15 | 26 | 390 | 78 | 5 |
| 12 | 30 | 42 | 1260 | 140 | 9 |
| 13 | 11 | 24 | 264 | 66 | 4 |
| 14 | 18 | 32 | 576 | 96 | 6 |
| 15 | 104 | 119 | 12376 | 442 | 28 |
| 16 | 14 | 30 | 420 | 84 | 5 |
| 17 | 21 | 38 | 798 | 114 | 7 |
| 18 | 32 | 50 | 1600 | 160 | 10 |


| 19 | 17 | 36 | 612 | 102 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 24 | 44 | 1056 | 132 | 8 |
| 21 | 209 | 230 | 48070 | 874 | 55 |
| 22 | 10 | 32 | 320 | 80 | 4 |
| 23 | 7 | 30 | 210 | 70 | 3 |
| 24 | 132 | 156 | 20592 | 572 | 36 |
| 25 | 23 | 48 | 1104 | 138 | 8 |

I call d values which do not yield a solution "difference outlaws".
Hypothesis(A):d=1,3,4, and 6 are difference outlaws.
Hypothesis(B): $d=1,3,4$, and 6 are the only outlaws. Therefore, all other differences are possible. Some differences yield $x$ values that are quite large. For example, $d=225$ yields the solution $x=25199, y=$ 25424 , and $f=6328$ with no smaller solution.

If someone would like to work on hypothesis A or B, I can get you started:
$d=1 \rightarrow f=\frac{x^{2}+x}{4 x-2} \rightarrow x^{2}-4 x f+x+2 f=0$
$\mathrm{d}=3 \rightarrow \mathrm{f}=\frac{x^{2}+3 x}{4 x+2} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}^{2}-4 \mathrm{xf}+3 \mathrm{x}-2 \mathrm{f}=0$
$d=4 \rightarrow f=\frac{x^{2}+4 x}{4 x+4} \rightarrow x^{2}-4 x f+4 x-4 f=0$
$d=6 \rightarrow f=\frac{x^{2}+6 x}{4 x+8} \rightarrow x^{2}-4 x f+6 x-8 f=0$

The fractions for $f$ are equivalent to the Diophantine equations on the right that we would like to prove have no solution for $x$ and $f$ as positive integers. My feeling is that these 4 Diophantine equations probably have integer solutions but that the solutions involve negative integers. Incidentally, these equations represent hyperbolic curves.

Let's take a last look at one of the outlaws: $d=1$. This looks like it may be easier than $d=3,4$, or 6 .

## Theorem 8

$X$ and $y$ cannot be consecutive integers. In other words, $d \neq 1$.
Proof: Suppose $\mathrm{d}=1$, then we have $\mathrm{f}=\frac{x(x+1)}{4 x-2}$
Suppose we treat this as a quadratic equation and solve it for $x$. We have
$x^{\wedge} 2+(1-4 f) x+2 f=0$
Using the Quadratic Formula: $\mathrm{x}=\frac{(4 f-1) \pm \sqrt{(1-4 f)^{2}-8 f}}{2}$
To have a solution, the discriminant must be a perfect square: $(1-4 f)^{2}-8 f=n^{\wedge} 2$
We have $1-16 f+16 f^{\wedge} 2=n^{\wedge} 2$ and $n$ must be odd for $x$ to be a positive integer.
The left side of the equation can be written as $16\left(f^{2}-f\right)+1$ and this is equivalent to $8\left(2 f^{2}-2 f\right)+1$
This looks encouraging since all odd squares must be of the form $8 n+1$. In fact, all odd squares are of the form 8T +1 where T is a triangular number of the form $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$

The question now is: Can $2 f^{2}-2 f$ ever be a triangular number?
If so, we have $2 \mathrm{f}^{2}-2 \mathrm{f}=\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$
$4 f^{2}-4 f-r(r+1)=0$ and we must again resort to the quadratic formula.
$f=\frac{(4) \pm \sqrt{4^{2}+16 r(r-1)}}{8}=\frac{4 \pm 4 \sqrt{r(r+1)+1}}{8}$
Looking at this fraction, $r(r+1)$ must be equivalent to $8 T=8 \frac{v(v+1)}{2}=4 v(v+1)$, and we are missing a factor of 4. We have encountered a contradiction, so jigsaw puzzles with integer $f$ are not possible if $y-x=1$.

Feel free to send me an email if you have a comment about my paper or if you have made progress on Hypothesis A or B that you would like to share.

In conclusion, I would like to wish you an enjoyable time working on your next jigsaw puzzle, regardless of whether or not the number of pieces is a multiple of the number of edge pieces! Have fun!

