

Lab 3 – Multispectral investigations of land, ocean, and atmosphere with MODIS
 Strabala / Menzel

Table: MODIS Channel Number, Wavelength (µm), and Primary Application

Reflective Bands			Emissive Bands		
1,2	0.645, 0.865	land/cld boundaries	20-23	3.750(2), 3.959, 4.050	sfc/cld temp
3,4	0.470, 0.555	land/cld properties	24,25	4.465, 4.515	atm temperature
5-7	1.24, 1.64, 2.13	“	27,28	6.715, 7.325	water vapor
8-10	0.415, 0.443, 0.490	ocean color/chlorophyll	29	8.55	sfc/cld temp
11-13	0.531, 0.565, 0.653	“	30	9.73	ozone
14-16	0.681, 0.75, 0.865	“	31,32	11.03, 12.02	sfc/cld temp
17-19	0.905, 0.936, 0.940	atm water vapor	33-34	13.335, 13.635	cld top properties
26	1.375	cirrus clouds	35-36	13.935, 14.235	cld top properties




Figure 1: Spectral signatures from land, ocean, and atmosphere

1. Use Hydra to analyze the scene over Italy on 29 May 2001 detected by MODIS (find MOD021KM.A2001149.1030.003.2001154234131.hdf and load it into Hydra). Browse through the scene in several different wavelengths in the Multi-Channel Viewer window. Look at the scene both in BT and radiances (use BT > radiance under **Settings**):

1a. Verify that the surface features are distinguishable in the infrared window channels while in the infrared absorption channels they are not.

1b. Look at clouds, ocean, and vegetated surfaces in different reflective bands. For each type (by selecting a single Field Of View) describe the changes in reflectance for different channels (top panel of Multi-channel viewer window).

1c. Demonstrate some of the spectral signatures indicated in Figure 1. In each case identify which spectral signature you are demonstrating.

- i. Look at snow in the Alps in 0.65 and 1.6 μm .
- ii. Look at clouds and vegetation in northern Italy in 0.65 and 0.86 μm .
- iii. Look at near shore waters in 0.55 and 0.45 μm .

2. Use **Linear Combination** to stage the vegetation index that is based on the relatively low leaf and grass reflectance from spectral bands below 0.72 μm and relatively high reflectance from spectral bands above.

2a. Construct a pseudo image of normalized vegetation index $\text{NDVI} = [\text{Band 2 (0.86}\mu\text{m)} - \text{Band 1 (0.65}\mu\text{m)}] / [\text{Band 2 (0.86}\mu\text{m)} + \text{Band 1 (0.65}\mu\text{m)}]$. Can you discriminate regions with some vegetation from those with little? What are the NDVI values in regions without significant vegetation; what are they in vegetated regions? Create a color image of NDVI and save it in a ppt slide. Make a transect of BT11 through vegetated to non-vegetated clear skies. Save in a ppt slide. What can you say about the BT11 in vegetated versus non-vegetated pixels?

2b. Create an image of Band 31 (11.0 μm) using **Compute**. Map the NDVI values from 2a on the Y axis (by clicking the Y axis button) against Band 31 (11.0 μm) on the X axis (by clicking the X axis button). Click on the scatter button to open a scatter plot window. Select different regions in the scatter plot window (see Figure 2) and look at the corresponding points in the linear combination windows.




Figure 2: Scatter plot of NDVI (left image & y-axis) versus BT11 (right image & x-axis)

3. Look at several different clouds in different reflective bands. For each cloud (by selecting a single Field Of View) describe the changes in reflectance for different channels (top panel of Multi-channel viewer window). Now look at the clouds in different thermal emissive bands. For each type (by selecting a single Field Of View) describe the changes in radiance detected in different channels (top panel of Multi-channel viewer window).
4. Select the following region (outlined approximately by green box) from the Hydra window (see Figure 3) to display 1 km resolution data.




Figure 3: Subset selected for full resolution analysis of snow, clouds, and clear sky (left). Full resolution visible image of subset selected (right).

- 4a. Investigate the radiances emanating from the cloud within the scene in different wavelengths; look at the following bands
 - Band 1 (0.65 μm) – clouds and snow reflecting
 - Band 2 (0.86 μm) – contrast between vegetation and clouds diminished
 - Band 26 (1.38 μm) – only high clouds and moisture detected
 - Band 6 (1.64 μm) – water clouds reflecting, snow and ice clouds not reflecting
 - Band 20 (3.7 μm) – thermal emission plus solar reflection
 - Band 31 (11 μm) – clouds and snow colder than rest of scene
 - Band 35 (13.9 μm) – only upper atmospheric thermal emission detected.
- 4b. Using **Linear Combination** to combine spectral bands that can be used to detect clouds and to infer cloud properties. Try the following band combinations (by entering

the suggested wavelengths in the colored boxes), and for each combination click on the compute button.

Band 2 (0.86 μm) / Band 1 (0.65 μm)

[Band 1 (0.65 μm) - Band 6 (1.6 μm)] / [Band 1 (0.65 μm) + Band 6 (1.6 μm)]

(Band 29 (8.6 μm) - Band 31 (11.0 μm))

(Band 31 (11.0 μm) - Band 32 (12.0 μm))

4c. Map each linear combination on the Y axis (by clicking the Y axis button) against Band 31 (11.0 μm) on the X axis (by clicking the X axis button). Click on **Scatter** to open a scatter plot window. Estimate what threshold values you would use in each test to indicate the presence of clouds? Select different regions in the scatter plot window and look at the corresponding points in the linear combination window.

4d. Look at the scatter plot of [Band 29 (8.6 μm) - Band 31 (11 μm)] versus [Band 31 (11 μm) - Band 32 (12 μm)]. Find where the largest differences occur in each image. Are there clouds in these brightness temperature difference images that could not be seen in the visible images?

5. Compare with the MODIS products files.

5a. Load the MODIS cloud mask (find the MOD35 file) using Hydra. How does the cloud mask compare with your own tests for cloud presence? Find three locations where you disagree and explain why you think the cloud mask is in error.

5b. Load the MODIS cloud phase product (find the MOD06 file) using Hydra. How does the cloud phase product compare with your own tests for cloud water/ice. Find three locations where you disagree and explain why you think the cloud product is in error.

6. Back in HYDRA subselect the region shown in Figure 4. Compare the cloud depiction at 0.65 and 11 μm .




Figure 4: Cirrus clouds and clear sky

6a. Generate a scatter plot of Band 31 on the x-axis and Band 29 – Band 31 on the y-axis. Now you can select a rectangular region on the scatter plot and look at the images to determine the location of the selected points in the pseudo-images (See Figure 5a).




Figure 5a: Scatter plot of BT8.6-BT11 (left image) versus BT11 (right image) with $BT8.6-BT11 > 1$ and $BT11 > 270$ highlighted in red.

6b. Alternatively use the system to select a rectangular region of one of the pseudo-images that display the linear combinations and look at the position of the selected pixels in the scatter plot. (Figure 5b)




Figure 5b: Scatter plot of BT8.6-BT11 (left image) versus BT11 (right image) with selected cloud feature in BT11 image highlighted in blue and displayed on scatter plot.

6c. Try to determine the type of cloud viewed in this region. Does Band 26 ($1.38 \mu\text{m}$) support or contradict your cloud classification. If you were to design and automated algorithm to detect these cloud types, what would you include in your algorithm?

6d. Which difference is larger for this cloud, [Band 29 (8.6 μm) - Band 31 (11 μm)] or [Band 31 (11 μm) - Band 32 (12 μm)]?

6e. Try two other tests suggested for high thin ice clouds over land and comment on their correlation with the BT8.6 – BT11 test demonstrated above.