

Box 1, Folder 31, Item 1- Letter to Ora and Dick T. Morgan from Porter Heath Morgan (1907  
June 10)

[[preprinted]]

PORTER H. MORGAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

ROOM 809, LION STORE BLDG.

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.,

[[/preprinted]]

June 10-'07

Dear Mama and Papa:-

its really busy this morning is as much as I go from red to come [[?]] papers ready for my  
divorce [[?]] t sign tomorrow and I [[?]] got their ready according to my [[?]] yet. But I want to  
write a note concerning Mr. Wood. I've been trying to see him [[?]] received your letter but didn't  
succeed until Saturday. He wants to be one of the Secretaries of the Rep. Central Committee. He  
[[?]] he can then go on up to the place he wants. But the [[?]] [[?]] is the starter he wants. As to  
his references further. In 1900 Wood worked as secretary to the state republican committee at St.  
Louis, under T.Cos K. heading ham and he

now holds as special [[?]] for his work at that time, a special [[?]] as state organizer is Mo. He also refers to William Warner, who is his special friend and who is now U.S. Senator from Mo- and whose home is in Kansas City- Wood would for him from Jefferson city mO. he also refers to "Bill" Sullivan ex-senator from mo, whose address is Billings Mo. He also refers to D.F. McConkey, a representative now and whose address is Frosyth, Mo. Wood is formerly of that town. His initials are S.G. His address is Capitol Hill. Naturally I am very [[?]] for your [[?]] to do what you could for him. i judge what he is a good politician. Guess I will come to ask you to send about \$20.00 more as soon as [[?]], for a number of extra things have come up of which I

[[preprinted]]

PORTER H. MORGAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

ROOM 809, LION STORE BLDG.

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.,

[[/preprinted]]

did not [[?]] at the time I last wrote. It rained hard all yesterday morning, just poured down and so our S.S. was expressed by a O- I went, but there were only a dozen or so there and we didn't go tun the form of having S.S. It began is clean off your after church time and so we had about 100 at Church. Friday evening we had our banquet for the new of the church about 70 were there and we [[?]] a ~~transfer~~ permanent organization- I was chosen as Secretary. I also [[?]] to a [[?]] during the evening- can't tell your Com I did, but as you may

infer- "it seemed to take well" Clemmer did not attend revives at all. I looked up the author you told me to in regard to

Sec 13- but this for I can't quite convince myself but that this fellow has look out- In that Chicago fort care- the decision of the court or [[?]] what the court said on this [[?]] was not necessary to the decision, it seems to me. And even this it was, until it new yet a question in my mind as to when the [[?]] of the [[?]] is this case, controlled as [[?]] of the land so as to come under the words in that decision- and then there is the [[?]] guess- that which those care don't cover and that is, wherein even admitting the land was affronted at one time- didn't the father relinquish ~~those~~ and this nullify this ~~and Co~~ I understand the legal ~~back~~ of the relinquishment it is that the land goes back to the government I.e. the fee is the land the always been in the government and face the fee don't leave the government until the ~~get~~ his patent.

[[preprinted]]

PORTER H. MORGAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

ROOM 809, LION STORE BLDG.

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.,

[[/preprinted]]

the [[?]] has a work of an [[?]] right- which he loves to ~~when~~ he relinquished- and is his care where there has been a grading of rep. ~~of~~ this land to the new state to being ~~to~~ the right of the come leader to go ahead and perfect his till- then when he come leader gives up his right

absolutely as he does by his relinquishment- the other right ~~[[?]]~~ under ~~[[?]]~~ becomes absolute. This is about the way I have figured it out and I would be glad to be set right if the reasoning or frames are wrong in any way. Your ~~[[?]]~~ seems to upend my ~~theory~~ contention as to the effort of a relinquished see p 4 8 and decimal is 15. L.D. 181 14 LQ. 306, 383, 420- also 14 L.de. 396. Well, I've write quite a little note. As I understand, Mama, leaves ~~[[?]]~~ this week- guess she will

be going today or tomorrow, Know you will have a good time, Mama. and hope you have a pleasant time over the trip, Haven't seen Mrs, Williams for a couple of months until Friday- She ~~[[?]]~~ freely close to the shop- ~~[[?]]~~ been to church is I don't know how long. News has been out out Town yet. Will add a work on the statehood question I really haven't read the constitution yet. The copies we have now are not authentic and the real thing may be somewhat different from the copies we have. As far as my knowledge is concerned- I think it is the main good. Our rich vital question as ~~[[?]]~~ and referendum, regulations of ~~[[?]]~~, abrogation of fellow servant law, taxation, judicial matters etc. it seems good to me. The matter of appointment of votes is such a small matter relatively in comparisons with the other matter of conventional, that i ma willing to pass it up- One other strong finish, is the fact that it is pretty easily amended.

[[preprinted]]

PORTER H. MORGAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

ROOM 809, LION STORE BLDG.

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.,

[[/preprinted]]

and this the bad things can be [[?]] if majority [[?]][[?]]. [[?]] the election of [[?]] by [[?]] vote is a good thing. So if it were to come to a vote, and if it is as has been represent I believe I would vote for it- it would be money in my pocket, I figure because ti would release the congestion of the [[?]] here in this country. As to the [[?]] question I rather [[?]] to the Chief that is the Republicans had have had contest of the [[?]], They would have fixed [[?]] so that they could carry unfrequent elections So there [[?]] much choice there. Well, I must stop and get to work- of mama has gone when you get this, send it along to her.

Your loving son,

Porter