AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS 1980 BLENNIAL CONVENTION

DRAFT RESOLUTION

on

Energy

1	The American Jewish Congress has long been concerned with the
:2	formulation of an effective, safe, comprehensive energy program.
3	designed to make the United States less dependent upon OPEC sources.
4	The development of an effective United States energy policy is vital
5	to the economic and social well-being of our country, to our national
6	security, to the maintenance of an independent foreign policy, and to
7	world economic and political stability.
8	Despite the widespread recognition of this need, American oil
9	imports from all sources have increased from 25 percent of consump-
10	tion in 1971 to the current level of about nine million barrels per
n	day almost half of United States current oil consumption. And
12	about one-third of the oil imported comes from Persian Gulf
13	countries.
14	America's reliance on imported oil at ever increasing prices
15	(over tenfold since 1973) contributes to staggering inflation in
16	the United States, a shrinking dollar, and a United States balance
17	of payments deficit of over \$28 billion. This threatens to curtail
18	United States economic growth and to exacerbate group tensions over
19	a shrinking economic pic. Energy decisions will help determine
20	whether we have an expanding or contracting economy and whether the

American government can continue to fund the social and economic programs which the Jewish community has traditionally endorsed.

We believe the following measures can be instrumental in reducing the stranglehold of the OPEC cartel and in moving toward a more competitive world market. We therefore urge that these proposals, among others, be considered and acted upon quickly as an intrinsic part of a total energy package.

1) Removal of foreign tax credits for oil produced by
American companies in OPEC countries; 2) imposition of an import
quota on all foreign oil except that produced in the Western
Hemisphere; 3) adoption of a sealed-bidding technique to allocate
imports within these quotas; 4) creation of a tax-free zone in
the Western Hemisphere for oil produced in that area.

Pending the establishment of a competitive market, and in the immediate future, conservation must be the cornerstone of our national energy policy. This will be especially true during the period of transition from reliance on unstable sources of foreign oil to the attainment of a diversified mix of secure energy sources. We therefore call for a program of conservation that will have both voluntary and mandatory aspects.

Toward this end, and in order to facilitate and encourage the installation and use of currently available conservation methods, such as co-generation -- the combined production of heat and power -- and "retrofit" changes in equipment and building structure that improve thermal and lighting efficiency, the

AJCongress supports the granting of significant tax credits and 46 other incentives to business, industry, and the individual consumer 47 for the use of these devices. Recent studies demonstrate that it 48 is possible to reduce industrial energy use, in economically justifi-49 able ways, by more than a third -- simply by diligent use of familiar 50. and available conservation methods, and even without further techno-51 logical innovation or breakthrough. 52 To demonstrate our own commitment to this task, we direct that 53 our own offices across the country conduct an energy conservation 54 audit to ascertain our own degree of operating efficiency and there-55 after to eliminate any wasteful and excessive energy use that may 56 be uncovered. There can be no genuine national program of energy 57 conservation until each of us acts to curb his own extravagance. 58 Conservation begins with the switching off of the first unnecessary 59 light. 60 : . We recognize further that, in seeking to expand our country's 61 access to energy, it will be imperative to supplement rigorous con-62 servation policies with programs which will augment energy supply. 63 We believe that energy shortages for the next decade at least can 64 be alleviated by employing an energy mix which must include all avail-65 able energy sources, using every means to ensure no more than minimal 66 environmental damage but recognizing that some trade-offs in 67 ecological factors are probably unavoidable and inevitable. 68 Recent studies indicate that active solar heating systems can 69

make a marked contribution toward decreasing reliance upon oil and

70

- 4 -

71

72

73

74

75.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

other conventional energy sources. In particular, biomass and solid waste (the conversion of organic matter from plants and animals into gas or liquid fuel) and photovoltaics (small silicon cells that convert sunlight directly into electricity) are potentially feasible technologies nearing the breakthrough stage. We urge the Federal Government to encourage the expansion of research and development of solar energy by providing support in the form of facilities, as well as economic incentives to potential solar energy producers, developers, distributors and consumers. Similarly, we urge the United States to expand presently available domestic energy resources -- coal gasification and liquefaction, extraction of shale oil, synthetic fuels, gasohol and others -- and to allocate significantly larger funds for this purpose. In addition, efforts must be made to determine and meet a reasonable goal for nuclear energy. The Three Mile Island episode demands that our nuclear installations include the most advanced technological systems and methods to provide maximum security against any possibility of nuclear accident. We, therefore, call for renewed efforts to make certain that plants now in use and those under construction will incorporate in their design and operation all maximum safety guarantees and controls. Finally, we oppose proposals for the deregulation of domestic oils and gas. The expectation that deregulation will result in expended domestic production has not been fulfilled by decontrols adopted thus far. On the contrary, there is reason to believe

that deregulation will encourage domestic producers to continue to retain these fuels in their natural site in the ground in the hope that retrieval at some future time will produce open-ended profit anticipation.

The only practical result of deregulation would be to create enormous windfall profits for the oil industry, inevitably carrying in its wake severe economic dislocation with its attendant hardships visited with special force upon the poor. We believe deregulation at the present time would be both ineffective and unconscionable.

Instead, we recommend a significant tax at the pump of 50¢ per gallon. A tax at the pump would accomplish many of the objectives claimed by the proponents of deregulation, but in more equitable and advantageous manner. It would have the effect of not only deterring consumption but of assuring that additional revenues would be channeled to the national treasury from which all benefit alike, rather than to private treasuries from which only a few stand to gain.

In the event of further curtailment of supply, critical shortages may develop. In these circumstances we would support a program of rationing of gas and oil -- to insure a fair and just allocation of limited resources and to insure that all citizens of our country would have equal access to the limited stores that may be available and would bear together and equally the burdens that crisis may impose.

What has been termed by the President to be the moral equivalent of war requires at least the political and economic equivalent of a national space program. We believe our energy problems are
not so intractable as to be beyond solution. Solution, however,
depends upon the perception of urgent national need and the commitment of manpower and funds commensurate with the challenge. We,
therefore, call for the establishment of a Federally funded
centralized energy project to discharge this task.