PAUL'S STATEMENT



I am impressed by

The hearings clearly demonstrate the polarization on the question of Seabrook, the depth of division on the issue. It shows that demonstrate the enormous expenditure of money would not have occurred if the applicant prior to resolution of issues had not begun construction.

Not even agreement of what should be considered during the licensing procedures of a nuclear power plant.

Have to face up how ddeep the divisions are on energy issues including nuclear and come to grips with it, otherwise, we wont get anywhere.

not so much interest in process but 15 sue of nuclear energy - 4 = 5 or no analogy - addict - been addicted along x Prob is the addiction - arguing supply have to argue demand - I'm offended by Attenism - Hose against morting backlash

ley Thomson's - prob of civil liberties

Public Service Congrany doesn't consider

Someth has to be

Altern Sources So much has to be done fighting for conservo Cataly snice approach to evergy problems

Some resp. to underst long term implie

of What advocating - What it means huhealthy to look of it food mour side in long term Brown posture close to my own reasonable conestant to the site
linergy is sue for children business
but owe it to bu children -where are you people when there about celtern -

638,