

IDENTIFICATION 555 Merrimack Street MAP SHEET # _____

1) CURRENT OWNER Louis Saab OWNER OCCUPIED no

2) HISTORIC NAME _____

3) CURRENT NAME _____

4) PROPERTY TYPE commercial 5) ZONING CLASSIFICATION B-1

6) TAXES: PAYMENTS current STATUS clear

7) WITHIN BOUNDARIES OF LHPD

HISTORICAL ABSTRACT

1) CONSTRUCTION DATE c.1936-1977
SOURCE 1936 and 1977 atlas

2) ARCHITECT OR BUILDER _____

3) HISTORIC OWNER _____

4) ORIGINAL USE: GF commercial UF _____

5) PREVIOUS BLDGS ON PROPERTY brick commercial bldg DATE c.1882 to c.1936
SOURCE 1879 atlas , 1882 Sanborn atlas, 1936 atlas

DESCRIPTIVE DATA

1) ARCHITECTURAL STYLE contemporary

2) PRESENT USE:
GF stores/offices
UF n/a

3) NO OF STORIES 1

4) PROP SQ FT 22,360

5) PLAN L-shaped

6) ROOF flat

7) STRUCTURAL SYSTEM _____

8) OUTBUILDINGS none

9) MATERIALS:
FOUNDATION not visible

FACADE white brick

TRIM metal

10) NOTABLE SURFACE & LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS none

VIEW FROM south PHOTO TAKEN Nov.1992



ADDRESS 555 Merrimack Street

VISUAL ASSESSMENT

- 1) CONDITION OF BUILDING FABRIC good
- 2) IF DETERIORATED, CAUSES n/a
- 3) INTEGRITY OF HISTORIC BLDG FABRIC: GF n/a
UF n/a
- 4) SURROUNDING LAND USES commercial
- 5) INTEGRITY OF PROPERTY'S HISTORIC SETTING severely disrupted historic setting
- 6) IMPORTANCE OF PROPERTY TO HISTORIC SETTING detracts from character

ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION

This contemporary one-story white brick commercial building has an offset left entrance but no windows along Merrimack Street. There are storefront windows on the east facade and east ell with metal awnings above.

ADDITIONAL HISTORICAL INFORMATION

This building was built sometime between 1936 and 1977, replacing an earlier brick commercial building and an adjacent frame structure which had stood on the site since about 1882. Previous to that date, this was the site of the coal and wood yard owned by the Proprietors of Locks and Canals.

SOURCES

Maps: 1832, 1850

Atlases: 1879, 1882, 1896, 1906, 1924, 1936, 1977

ARCHEOLOGICAL COMMENT

The building and surrounding paved parking lot occupy the entire parcel. The archeological potential would appear to be low.

