

Tom DiSalvo with his controversial painting

Painting booted from show because of explicit detail

By LARRY FORTNER

News Staff Writer

Salome is no stranger to trouble. In the first century A D she caused John the Baptist to lose his head. In the 20th century A,D she's causing headaches in Boca Raton.

Salome, in this case is the title of a work entered by Tom DiSalvo in a water color exhibition at the Boca Raton Center for the Arts. DiSalvo's painting was determined by judge Millard Wells to be good

enough to be hung in the galley - 24 of the 79 entries were not — but Salome did not win one of the \$200 merit awards or an honorable mention rib-

When the gallery was opened for a two-hour reception Sunday evening for members and guests only, DiSalvo's Salome was on the wall in its appointed place

When the gallery closed Monday evening, Salome was gone, banished to a corner of the executive director's of-fice, her face to the wall.

During the reception, a member of the center's board of directors noticed in the painting a sexually explicit detail abstract representation of King Herod holding his erect penis - and

Salome was on her way out.

Monday, four members of the 14member board met to determine
Salome's fate. They found the explicit detail to be in poor taste and had the painting removed from the gallery. Tuesday Maria Lawton, executive director of the center, called artist

DiSalvo to tell him his painting had been taken down but that his \$10 entry fee usually non-refundable, would be

returned as a show of good faith and of no hard feelings.
"We just wanted to encourage him

to show again," said Mrs. Lawton.
"His work has been exhibited here before and we want him to come

DiSalvo refused the refund but said he would enter paintings in future ex-

Not that he agrees with the decision. "I very much disagree with their decision that this is pornographic," said the 30-year-old self-taught artist who holds a master's degree in philosophy from the University of Chicago. "I think the erotic content plays a very important part in the situation and the ideas being expressed in the painting. sed in the painting.

"I don't feel it should have been taken down - ar know about this. and that people should

"Also, I feel there's a question of legality here. Wells judged this show and once he judged this painting it should have stayed up.

See SALOME, Page 5A

Salome

From Page 1A

There Mrs. Lawton distributions agrees, saying, "Any gallery, public or private, has the last word. The gallery has the right to take down a painting to take the take tak

if it chooses to.
"We do not object to nudity. We're an art gallery,

nudity. We're an art gallery, after all, but the detail in that after all, but the detail in that painting was in poor taste." Meanwhile, the judge of the show has returned to his gallery in the Keys.
"I just didn't see it," Wells said Tuesday by telephone while discussing the erotic-pornographic-whatever it was detail in the painting.
"I'm sorry I missed it. When you're judging a show

with so many entries, you look at each painting and to on general, wide-range impact. Only if you're really interested do you get a lot closer to check the details.

"I guess this one slipped by

Erotic content not key

To the Editor

In your Jan. 7 article on the expulsion of my painting from the watercolor exhibition I am quoted as follows: think the erotic content plays a very important part in the situation and the ideas being expressed in the painting. The erotic content is important, but it is not the key to the meaning of the painting, whose central theme is the abuse of power for personal gratification which has always been the hallmark of the tyrant.

Herod was so pleased with Salome's dance that he rashly promised her even half his kingdom as a reward The fact that he sacrificed the life of a just man to fulfill this promise stamps him as a weak tyrant who set his per sonal pleasure above the welfare of his kingdom. John the Baptist was not only a holy man, he also had a large fol-lowing, and his execution, therefore, was not without political risk. This abuse of power is represented in my painting by a visual metaphor for self-gratification masturbation. This act is sometimes referred to as selfabuse, and is particularly apt to express Herod's abuse of

power, for the setting of this abuse is a situation of an unquestionably erotic character.

It is no cause for wonder that a myopic judge who "just

see the detail" should also have missed its significance

Your article further states that only four out of 14 members of the Board of Directors of the Boca Raton Center for the Arts voted to expel my painting. I believe your readers will agree that this is at best a doubtful quorum and leaves the legality of the expulsion in question. Were the other 10 members consulted? Is this an at-tempt on the part of a few to decide and dictate what shall and what shall not be seen by the many?

I respectfully request that the board reconsider its decision, or better, that it make a legal decision either to reject the painting or to allow it to hang for the balance of the exhibition.

Tom Di Salvo. 525 NW 53rd St

Tom DiSalvo with his controversial painting

Wednesday, January 7, 1976

21st Year Issue 32

Boca Raton Ne



Tom DiSalvo with his controversial painting

Painting booted from show because of explicit detail

By LARRY FORTNER News Staff Writer

Salome is no stranger to trouble.

In the first century A.D. she caused John the Baptist to lose his head. In the 20th century A.D. she's causing headaches in Boca Raton.

Salome, in this case is the title of a work entered by Tom DiSalvo in a water color exhibition at the Boca Raton Center for the Arts.

DiSalvo's painting was determined by judge Millard Wells to be good

Monday, January 12,

1976,

BOCA

RA

enough to be hung in the galley -24 of the 79 entries were not - but Salome did not win one of the \$200 merit awards or an honorable mention ribbon.

When the gallery was opened for a two-hour reception Sunday evening for members and guests only, DiSalvo's Salome was on the wall in its appointed place.

When the gallery closed Monday evening, Salome was gone, banished to a corner of the executive director's office, her face to the wall.

During the reception, a member of the center's board of directors noticed in the painting a sexually explicit detail abstract representation of King Herod holding his erect penis — and Salome was on her way out.

Monday, four members of the 14member board met to determine Salome's fate. They found the explicit detail to be in poor taste and had the painting removed from the gallery.

Tuesday Maria Lawton, executive director of the center, called artist DiSalvo to tell him his painting had been taken down but that his \$10 entry fee, usually non-refundable, would be

returned as a show of good faith and of no hard feelings.

"We just wanted to encourage him to show again," said Mrs. Lawton. "His work has been exhibited here before and we want him to come back."

DiSalvo refused the refund but said he would enter paintings in future exhibitions.

Not that he agrees with the decision.

"I very much disagree with their decision that this is pornographic," said the 30-year-old self-taught artist who holds a master's degree in philosophy from the University of Chicago. "I think the erotic content plays a very important part in the situation and the ideas being expressed in the painting.

"I don't feel it should have been taken down — and that people should know about this.

"Also, I feel there's a question of legality here. Wells judged this show and once he judged this painting it should have stayed up."

See SALOME, Page 5A

2 Sections 24 Pages

Salome

From Page 1A

There Mrs. Lawton disagrees, saying, "Any gallery, close public or private, has the last word. The gallery has the right to take down a painting if it chooses to.

"We do not object to nudity. We're an art gallery, after all, but the detail in that painting was in poor taste."

Meanwhile, the judge of the show has returned to his gallery in the Keys.

"I just didn't see it," Wells said Tuesday by telephone while discussing the eroticpornographic-whatever it was detail in the painting.

"I'm sorry I missed it. When you're judging a show with so many entries, you look at each painting and to on general, wide-range impact. Only if you're really in terested do you get a locloser to check the details.

"I guess this one slipped by e."

That Salome, she's trouble

Erotic content not key

To the Editor:

power, for the setting of this abuse is a situation of an un-

painting removed from the gallery.

Tuesday Maria Lawton, executive director of the center, called artist DiSalvo to tell him his painting had been taken down but that his \$10 entry fee, usually non-refundable, would be

"Also, I feel there's a question of legality here. Wells judged this show and once he judged this painting it should have stayed up."

See SALOME, Page 5A

said Tuesday by telephone while discussing the erotic-pornographic-whatever it was detail in the painting.
"I'm sorry I missed it.
When you're judging a show

Erotic content not key

To the Editor:

In your Jan. 7 article on the expulsion of my painting from the watercolor exhibition I am quoted as follows: "I think the erotic content plays a very important part in the situation and the ideas being expressed in the painting." The erotic content is important, but it is not the key to the meaning of the painting, whose central theme is the abuse of power for personal gratification which has always been the hallmark of the tyrant.

Herod was so pleased with Salome's dance that he rashly promised her even half his kingdom as a reward. The fact that he sacrificed the life of a just man to fulfill this promise stamps him as a weak tyrant who set his personal pleasure above the welfare of his kingdom. John the Baptist was not only a holy man, he also had a large following, and his execution, therefore, was not without political risk. This abuse of power is represented in my painting by a visual metaphor for self-gratification, masturbation. This act is sometimes referred to as self-abuse, and is particularly apt to express Herod's abuse of

power, for the setting of this abuse is a situation of an unquestionably erotic character.

It is no cause for wonder that a myopic judge who "just didn't see the detail" should also have missed its significance.

Your article further states that only four out of 14 members of the Board of Directors of the Boca Raton Center for the Arts voted to expel my painting. I believe your readers will agree that this is at best a doubtful quorum and leaves the legality of the expulsion in question. Were the other 10 members consulted? Is this an attempt on the part of a few to decide and dictate what shall and what shall not be seen by the many?

I respectfully request that the board reconsider its decision, or better, that it make a legal decision either to reject the painting or to allow it to hang for the balance of the exhibition.

Tom Di Salvo, 525 NW 53rd St.