Inswered Impeachment tot 1722 So, Carson Julsa, Okla 74119 nov. 21, 1973 Regresentative James R. Jones RECEIVED Julsa, Okla, Dear Sir, NOV 29 1973 I believe archiback Cox never should have been appointed. Mr Richard Don knew his beach graund of Kennedy affiliation but again the president went along wish it thinking it would be a fæir and affective upvestigation. The president knew There were leaky; he knew also that Mr Cay was determined to gain more power, more money and more time to get the president. If course we would never have known about Mr Cax babbling this own words) if he had not been fired and had to go before the sende Comwittee. When I was a school

teacher I taught my students That the president had to be a leader; of the people but at the same time alease of the people, and I think that is an example in the ease of the Cax, I think he was quilty of the wort gross mis behavise in griggling, babbling to the arch enemies of the president, How many other times did They meet in the Kennedy home for these elssion; ? Of course the such questions were asked him Furthermore, the lutire massacre, bourbstell, stemmig horrors (IV xeevs meeting words) was blown up sut 4 all propoder Jeul con gressmen fell for the propoganda. I reuli sæn Cax on IV that he was not giggling weking

light of what ever the question was about and the newsmen and commen ees sat there like a class of first day law students all ga ga" at the Professor. Nothing of what the Iresident did that week end strained my confidence in him and that is the way most of my friends and acqueintances, not only here, but in this area feel, according to my correspondence. Mrs Jessie J. Martinisen

## Dear Sir,

I believe Archibald Cox never should have been appointed. Mr. Richard son knew his background of Kennedy affiliation but again the President went along with it thinking it would be a fair and objective investigation. The President knew there were leaking; he knew also that Mr. Cox was determined to gain more time to get the president. Of course we would never have known about Mr. Cox "babbling" (his own words) if he had not been fried and had to go before the Senate Committee. When I was a school teacher I taught my students that the President had to be the leader; of the people but at the same time ahead of the people. And I think that is an example in the case of Mr. Cox. I think he was guilty of the most gross misbehaviors in "giggling, babbling" to the arch enemies of the President. How many other times did they meet in the Kennedy home for these sessions? Of course no such questions were asked him. Furthermore, the entire "massacre, bombshell, steering horrors" (TV News enticing words) was blown up out of all proportion and some the gullible people and a few congressmen fell for the propaganda. I never saw Cox on TV that he was not "giggling" making light of what ever the question was about and the newsmen and committees out there like a class of first day law students all "ga ga" at the Professor. Nothing of what the President did that weekend strained my confidence in him and that is the way most of my friends and acquaintances not only here but in this area feel, according to my correspondence.