

To: Tom D.
From: Tim
Re: Summary of Delegation meeting with parties to the Indian settlement
5/29/80
Date: 5/30/80

Points covered at the meeting:

1. WSC impressed on all present that the proposed settlement might have to be tailored to meet whatever objections key committee chairmen insist on.
2. Because it cannot be determined at this time, what those objections will be, no one in the delegation can issue an unqualified endorsement of the proposal.
3. Action on the proposal will begin in the Senate with the Senate Indian Committee.
 - a) Hearings on the proposal will be held probably in late June.
4. Following the Indian Committee's consideration of the bill, the Senate Budget Committee will analyze the amount of money involved.
5. Senator Hollings will have to be satisfied that:
 - a) the settlement is fair in failing to require a state contribution.
 - b) the amount of money involved is appropriate
 - c) whether the terms of the settlement will serve as the precedent for the remaining Trade and Intercourse claims.
6. Representatives of the State of Maine were apprised that the State might have to make a token contribution of either money or land or both.
 - a) Pessimism was expressed over whether this was possible.
7. The point was driven home that the Congressional version of the settlement could differ significantly from the present proposal.
8. Emery noted that Morris Udall had set forth 4 points which he was prepared to insist on as prerequisites to passage:
 - a) Consensus of all parties
 - b) Unanimity within the Delegation
 - c) Some state contribution
 - d) That the proposal be reasonable and defensible.
9. I think Tureen was very pleased with the meeting as was Dick Cohen. The Indian negotiators made the appropriate rumblings of dissatisfaction but I believe they were given enough to go back and talk to their people.