From the PHILOSOPHICAL MAGAZINE for July 1874.

ON SOME PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF ICE; ON THE TRANSPOSITION OF BOULDERS FROM BELOW TO ABOVE THE ICE; AND ON MAMMOTH-REMAINS.

BY

JOHN RAE, M.D., LL.D., ETC.*

IS the ice formed on salt water fresh? or, in other words, if ice formed on the sea is thawed, will the water obtained thereby be fresh?

For a number of years past I have spoken with many persons on the above subject; and seldom, if ever, have I found a single individual who did not say that the ice of the sea was fresh.

Some of these gentlemen are known in the scientific world; and many of them supported their opinions by quoting the highest written authorities on the subject, chiefly Tyndall's 'Forms of Water,' p. 132, par. 339, which tells us that "even when water is saturated with salt, the crystallizing force studiously rejects the salt, and devotes itself to the congelation of the water alone. *Hence the ice of sea-water, when melted, produces fresh water.*"

It is the sentence in italics to which I wish to draw particular attention.

It would be the extreme of folly and presumption on my part to question the correctness of results obtained by scientific men in their experiments in freezing small quantities of sea-water by artificial means, more especially those of the distinguished gentleman whose name I have mentioned, who, in addition to holding the high position of being one of our

* Read before the Physical Society, May 9, 1874.

greatest authorities in all that relates to physical science, possesses the rare gift of being able to communicate his knowledge in such plain, clear, and forcible language, illustrated by admirable experiments, as to make his meaning fully understood, even by those who had previously been perfectly ignorant of the subject.

It is only where I have had opportunities of witnessing the action of cold carried on in a manner which may have been denied to the scientific man, that I venture to differ from him; and it is in this way that the conviction has been forced upon me, that the ice of sea-water if melted *does not* produce fresh water.

Before entering upon this subject, however, let me say a word or two on the first part of the quotation I have given.

If a saturated solution of salt is frozen, and the ice so formed is fresh, it is evident that the salt that has been "rejected" must be deposited or precipitated in a crystalline or some other solid form, because the water, if any, that remains unfrozen, being already saturated, can hold in solution no more salt than it already contains.

Could not salt be obtained readily and cheaply by this means from sea-water in cold climates?

During several long journeys on the Arctic coast, in the early spring before any thaw had taken place, the only water to be obtained was by melting snow or ice. By experience I found that a kettleful of water could be obtained by thawing ice with a much less expenditure of fuel, and in a shorter time, than was required to obtain a similar quantity of water by thawing snow. Now, as we had to carry our fuel with us, this saving of fuel and of time was an important consideration, and we always endeavoured to get ice for this purpose. We had another inducement to test the sea-ice frequently as to its freshness or the reverse.

I presume that almost every one knows that to eat snow when it is very cold, tends to increase thirst, whereas a piece of ice in the mouth is refreshing and beneficial, however cold it may be; we were consequently always glad to get a bit of fresh ice whilst at the laborious work of hauling our heavy sledges; yet with these strong inducements we were never able to find sea-ice, *in situ**, either eatable when solid or drinkable when thawed, it being invariably much too salt. The only exception (if it may be called one) to this rule, was when we found rough ice, which, from its wasted appearance and irregular form, had evidently been the formation of a previous winter. This old

* What I mean by ice *in situ* is ice lying flat and unbroken on the sea, as formed during the winter it is formed in.

ice, if projecting a foot or two above the water-level, was almost invariably fresh, and, when thawed, gave excellent drinking-water. It may be said that these pieces of fresh ice were fragments of glaciers or icebergs; but this could not be so, as they were found where neither glaciers nor icebergs are ever seen.

How is this to be accounted for? Unfortunately I have only a theory to offer in explanation.

When the sea freezes by the abstraction of heat from its surface, I do not think that the saline matter, although retained in and incorporated with the ice, assumes the solid state, unless the cold is very intense, but that it remains fluid in the form of a very strong brine enclosed in very minute cells. So long as the ice continues to float at the same level, or nearly the same level, as the sea, this brine remains; but when the ice is raised a little above the water-level, the brine, by its greater specific gravity, and probably by some solvent quality acting on the ice, gradually drains off from the ice so raised; and the small cells, by connecting one with another downwards, become channels of drainage.

There may be several other requisites for this change of salt ice into fresh, such as temperature raised to the freezing-point, so as to enable the brine to *work out* the cell-walls into channels or tubes—that is, if my theory has any foundation in fact, which may be easily tested by any expedition passing one or more winters on the Arctic, or by any one living where ice of considerable thickness is formed on the sea, such as some parts of Norway.

All that is required, as soon as the winter has advanced far enough for the purpose, is to cut out a block of sea-ice (taking care not to be near the outflow of any fresh-water stream) about 3 feet square, remove it from the sea to some convenient position, test its saltness at the time, and at intervals repeat the testing both on its upper and lower surfaces, and observe the drainage if any.

The result of the above experiment, even if continued for a long while, *may* not be satisfactory, because the fresh ice that I have described must have been formed at least twelve months, perhaps eighteen months, before.

The Transposition of Boulders from below to above the Ice.

When boulders, small stones, sand, gravel, &c. are found lying on sea-ice, it is very generally supposed that they must have rolled down a steep place or fallen from a cliff, or been deposited by a flow of water from a river or other source. There is, however, another way in which boulders &c. get upon

floe-ice, which I have not seen mentioned in any book on this subject.

During the spring of 1847, at Repulse Bay on the Arctic shores of America, I was surprised to observe, after the thaw commenced, that large boulders (some of them 3 or 4 feet in diameter) began to appear on the surface of the ice; and after a while, about the month of July, they were wholly exposed, whilst the ice below them was strong, firm, and something like 4 feet thick.

There were no cliffs or steep banks near from which these boulders could have come; and the only way in which I could account for their appearance, was that which by subsequent observation I found to be correct.

On the shores of Repulse Bay the rise and fall of the tide are 6 or 8 feet, sometimes more. When the ice is forming in early winter, it rests, when the tide is out, on any boulders &c. that may be at or near low-water mark. At first, whilst the ice is weak, the boulders break through it; but when the ice becomes (say 2 or 3 feet) thick, it freezes firmly to the boulder, and when the tide rises, is strong enough to lift the boulder with it. Thus, once fastened to the ice, the stone continues to rise and fall with the rise and fall of each tide, until, as the winter advances, it becomes completely enclosed in the ice, which by measurement I found to attain a thickness of more than 8 feet.

Small stones, gravel, sand, and shells may be fixed in the ice in the same way.

In the spring, by the double effect of thaw and evaporation, the upper surface of the ice, to the extent of 3 feet or more, is removed, and thus the boulders, which in autumn were lying at the bottom of the sea, are now on the ice, while it is still strong and thick enough to travel with its load, before favourable winds and currents to a great distance.

The finding small stones and gravel on ice out to sea does not always prove that such ice has been near the shore at some time or other.

I have noticed that wherever the Walrus in any numbers have been for some time lying either on ice or rocks, a not inconsiderable quantity of gravel has been deposited, apparently a portion of the excreta of that animal, having probably been taken up from the bottom of the sea and swallowed along with their food.

Mammoth-remains. The position in which their Skeletons are found, &c.

In Lyell's 'Principles of Geology,' vol. i. p. 185, we read:—"In the flat country near the mouth of the Yenesei river, Siberia, between latitudes 70° and 75° north, many skeletons of mammoths, retaining the hair and skin, have been found. The heads of most of these are said to have been turned to the south."

As far as I can find, the distinguished geologist gives no reason why the heads of the mammoths were turned to the south; nor does he say all that I think might be said of the reasons why, and the means by which the skins have been preserved for such a long period of time.

Having lived some years on the banks of two of the great rivers of America, near to where they enter Hudson's Bay, and also on the M'Kenzie, which flows into the Arctic Sea, I have had opportunities of observing what takes place on these streams, all of which have large alluvial deposits, forming flats and shallows at their mouths.

What I know to be of common occurrence in these rivers may, if we reason by analogy, have taken place in ancient times on the great rivers of Siberia, making due allowance for the much higher northern latitude to which these streams run before reaching the sea, and for the difference in size of the fauna that used to frequent their banks.

When animals, more especially those having horns, tusks, or otherwise heavily weighted heads, are drifting down a river, the position of the bodies may lie in any direction as regards the course of the stream, as long as they are in water deep enough to float them; but the moment they get into a shallow place, the head, which sinks deepest (or, as sailors say, "draws most water"), takes the ground, whilst the body, still remaining afloat, swings to the current, just as a boat or ship does when brought to anchor in a tideway.

It is probable that the mammoths, having been drowned by breaking through the ice or in swimming across the river in spring when the banks were lined with high precipitous drifts of snow, which prevented them from getting out of the water, or killed in some other way, floated down stream, perhaps for hundreds of miles, until they reached the shallows at the mouth, where the heads, loaded with a great weight of bone and tusks, would get aground in 3 or 4 feet of water, whilst the bodies still afloat would swing round with the current as already described.

The Yenesei flows from south to north, so the heads, being pointed up stream, would be to the south*.

Supposing, then, these bodies anchored as above in 3 or 4 feet water; as soon as the winter set in, they would be frozen up in this position. The ice in so high a latitude as 70° or 75° north would acquire a thickness of 5 or 6 feet at least, so that it would freeze to the bottom on the shallows where the mammoths were anchored. In the spring, on the breaking up of the ice, this ice being solidly frozen to the muddy bottom, would not rise to the surface, but remain fixed, with its contained animal remains, and the flooded stream would rush over both, leaving a covering of mud as the water subsided.

Part of this fixed ice, but not the whole, might be thawed away during summer; and (possibly, but not necessarily) next winter a fresh layer of ice with a fresh supply of animal remains might be formed over the former stratum; and so the peculiar position and perfect state of preservation of this immense collection of extinct animals may be accounted for without having recourse to the somewhat improbable theory that a very great and sudden change had taken place in the climate of that region.

I have seen at the mouth of Hayes River in America animals frozen up as above described; but as the latitude of this place is only 57° north, the fixed ice usually wholly disappears before the next winter sets in, and liberates the animals shut up in it; but when the rivers reach the sea, as some of those of Siberia do, 1000 or 1200 miles further to the north, it may be fairly assumed that a large part of this fixed ice, protected as i would be by a layer of mud, might continue unthawed.

* Not many years ago, when buffalo were very abundant on the Saskatchewan, hundreds of them were sometimes drowned in one season whilst swimming across the river; and many reindeer, moose, and other animals are annually destroyed in this way in other large American rivers.

Sir Charles Lyell mentions a number of yaks being seen frozen up in one of the Siberian rivers, which, on the breaking up of the ice in spring, would be liberated and float down the stream.