

Richard Alderson, Edward Alderson of Keld, George Alderson of Stone House, John Alderson of Thorns, and John Alderson of Keld, to hold the property upon the same trust as those contained in the admittance of 1835.

These trusts would seem to be those declared by the original foundation and it might be a question how far they could now legally be avoided or altered, (even if it was necessary to do so,) either by a deed expressly defining the new trusts or by putting the property under the general trusts of the general model deed of the Independent body (of which as in the case of the Wesleyans I presume there to be one.)

But as the House and land is vested in Trustees for the benefit of the Minister there does not appear to be any necessity for a deed as to that, and as the Chapel is also vested in the Trustees the Minister could not (even supposing the improbable case of his wishing to do so,) interfere with the Chapel to the prejudice of his congregation, so that practically the need of a deed as to this portion of the property does not appear to be very pressing.

But as to the School-room and Institute there are no trusts declared in the admittance, (they for technical reasons being omitted,) and as that part of the property is now vested solely in you upon the face of the admittance like any other private property it would probably be advantaged if a deed declaring its trust was prepared.

If there is a general ~~trust~~ Model Trust deed of your body embracing all the provisions thought applicable to the property devoted to the object in question, such a deed would be very simple and short as it would merely vest the property in the Trustees to be held in the trust declared by such general Model deed (describing it.)

The expense however would be somewhat heavy for so small a property as the deed would have to be enrolled