



**DIFFERENCES AMONG BODY FAT PERCENTAGE PREDICTION EQUATIONS IN A COLLEGE AGE POPULATION**

Molly N. Melton, Elizabeth A. Easley, Sarah H. Sellhorst, William F. Riner FACSM



**ABSTRACT**

Body mass index (BMI) is known to misclassify obesity status according to body fat percentage (BF%). **Purpose:** To determine if body adiposity index (BAI) and the Deurenberg equation can predict BF% in traditional aged college students. **Methods:** Anthropometric data were collected on 172 college students (18-25y). BF% was measured using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (GE Lunar iDXA, Waukesha, WI). Paired t-tests were used to determine group mean differences in BF% between measured and predicted values. In addition, Pearson's correlation and intra-class coefficient correlations (ICC) were used to examine the association and reliability between the values, respectively. **Results:** BAI-predicted BF% ( $27.35 \pm 5.04\%$ ) and Deurenberg-predicted BF% ( $23.48 \pm 7.78\%$ ) were significantly lower than DXA-measured BF% ( $28.64 \pm 9.10\%$ ),  $p = .004$  and  $p < .001$ , respectively. BAI- ( $r = .817$ ) and Deurenberg- ( $r = .847$ ) predicted BF% were strongly correlated to DXA-measured BF%,  $p < .001$ . ICC demonstrated strong reliability between DXA-measured BF% and the BAI-predicted measured BF% (ICC = .812,  $p < .001$ ) and Deurenberg-measured BF% (.828,  $p < .001$ ). **Discussion:** While statistical significance was noted, the difference of 1% between DXA-measured BF% and BAI-predicted BF% lacks clinical significance. However, our study concludes that the use of both equations is warranted in this population. Additional research is suggested to further elucidate our findings.

**PURPOSE**

- The universal calculation of obesity and health status is Body Mass Index (BMI).
- According to previous studies, BMI alone does not accurately classify a person's obesity status. BMI can not distinguish body fat from fat-free mass such as muscle and bone. It also does not take in consideration a person's sex and age (Burkhauser & Cawley, 2006).
- The purpose of this study was to determine if body adiposity index (BAI) and the Deurenberg equation can accurately predict BF% in traditional aged college students.

**METHODS**

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics**

	Mean $\pm$ SD
Height (cm)	169.31 $\pm$ 9.15
Weight (kg)	71.88 $\pm$ 17.92
Age (yrs)	19.30 $\pm$ 1.38
Body Fat (%)	28.64 $\pm$ 9.09
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.92 $\pm$ 5.14
Avg. Waist Circumference (cm)	77.79 $\pm$ 11.93
Avg. Hip Circumference (cm)	99.59 $\pm$ 10.13

**Participants**

- 172 Full-time ( $\geq 12$  credit hours) college students (18-25 years)
- All students were from a small, rural, commuter campus
- Student athletes and pregnant women were excluded from this study

**DXA Scan**

- Body composition was measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) using a GE Lunar iDXA (Waukesha, Wisconsin).

**Height**

- Height (cm) was measured using a wall mounted stadiometer without shoes

**Weight**

- Weight (kg) was measured in light clothing using a calibrated digital scale

**Body Adiposity Index**

- (Hip Circumference in cm/(Height in meters)<sup>1.5</sup>) - 18

**Deurenberg**

- $BF\% = 1.20 \times BMI - 10.8 \times \text{sex} + 0.23 \times \text{age} - 5.4$
- Sex: males = 1, females = 0

**Data Analyses**

- IBM SPSS Statistics (v. 24) was used to analyze our data.
- T-tests were used to compare means between measured and equation-predicted BF%.
- Pearson's Correlation Coefficient was used to examine the strength of association between measured and predicted BF%.
- ICC was used to determine the reliability of the equation's predicted BF%



**RESULTS**

**Table 2. Measured and Predicted Mean Body Fat Percentages**

	Mean $\pm$ SD	Statistical Significance (p)
Total fat measured by DXA (BF%)	28.64 $\pm$ 9.10	P = .004
BAI Equation(BF%)	27.35 $\pm$ 5.04	
Total fat measured by DXA (BF%)	28.64 $\pm$ 9.10	P < .001
Deurenberg Equation (BF%)	23.48 $\pm$ 7.78	

**Table 3. Pearson's Correlation between BAI and Deurenberg Predicted Body Fat and DXA Measured Body Fat Percentage**

	BAI (BF%)	Deurenberg (BF%)
DXA (BF%)	r = .817	r = .847
Sig. (2-tailed)	p < .000	p < .000

**Table 4. Intra-class Correlation Coefficient of BAI and Deurenberg Predicted Body Fat Percentages**

	ICC
BAI (BF%)	.812 <sup>c</sup>
Deurenberg (BF%)	.828 <sup>c</sup>

- Both BAI and Deurenberg measured BF% were significantly different when compared to DXA measured BF%.
- BAI and Deurenberg predicted BF% were strongly and significantly correlated with DXA measured BF%.

**DISCUSSION**

- Both the Deurenberg and the BAI equations demonstrated reliability in college age participants when compared to the criterion measure of DXA.
- Although both equations showed a statistical difference, the difference found between BAI and DXA measured body fat was not clinically significant.
- Future studies with an increased sample size are need to corroborate the findings in this population.
- Future studies should include a variety of age groups and obesity status.

**REFERENCES**

Burkhauser, R. V., & Cawley, J. ( June 2006). Beyond BMI: The Value of More Accurate Measures of Fatness and Obesity in Social Science Research. *NBER Working Paper Series, No. 12291*. doi: 10.3386/w12291

Deureneberg, P., Weststrate, J. A., & Seidell, J. C. (1991). Body mass index as a measure of body fatness: age- and sex- specific prediction formulas. *British Journal of Nutrition, 65, 105-114*. doi: [10.1079/BJN19910073](https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19910073)