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The memory of this Engineer's understanding and sympathy and his capacity for deep friendship and warm relations with his staff remains undiminished.

Captain Herbert C. Whitehurst distinguished himself for almost a quarter of a century in the service of the Government of the District of Columbia.

Born in Richmond, Va., September 20, 1886, he received his engineering education at Virginia Polytechnic Institute, where he graduated in 1906. Until World War I, he worked as an engineer with private construction firms. During that war, he served in the United States and abroad as a combat engineer. Subsequently, he continued to serve with the Corps of Engineers and, for a time, headed the Construction Division at Wilson Dam, Muscle Shoals, Alabama.

He was transferred to the Office of the Engineer Commissioner of the District of Columbia in 1926. Three years later, he resigned his commission, intending to enter private industry. However, the District Commissioners, who had made a study of the Whitehurst Plan for better highway management in Washington, persuaded him to remain as Coordinator and Chief Engineer. Later, the job was expanded and he became the first Director of Highways.

Nor did he hesitate to undertake duties beyond the limits of his office. In addition to serving as Director of Highways Captain Whitehurst served as head of the District Department of Civilian Defense and Commander of the City's Civil Defense Corps during World War II.

Under the Captain's leadership, the mileage of paved streets in Washington was nearly doubled from 550 miles in 1926 to nearly a 1,000 miles in 1948 . He campaigned vigorously and successfully to establish the

District's Highway Fund as a separate budget account. At the time of his death, the annual Highway Budget approached $\$ 10,000,000$.

Major improvement projects conceived under the Captain's direction include the Scott Circle, Thomas Circle, Dupont Circle, and Virginia Avenue underpasses, as well as the Sousa Bridge, the South Capitol Street Bridge, the Calvert Street Bridge, and the new Highway Bridge over the Potomac River at 14th Street, and many others. Another was the K Street Elevated Highway, which was officially dedicated in 1949 by the District Commissioners as the "Whitehurst Freeway."

Captain Whitehurst's reputation in the engineering profession was nationwide. He was President of the American Road Builders' Association 1935-36, prior to which he had been President of the Municipal Division of that Association, then known as the City Officials Division. He served as Treasuzer of ARBA from 1940 to the time of his death. He was also a member of the American Society of Civil Engineers, the American Association of State Highway Officials, the Association of Highway Officials of the North Atlantic States, the Highway Research Board, and the Washington Board of Trade, among others.

There have been few men so generally respected as was Captain Whitehurst. The fact that those who knew him referred to him always as "The Captain" was a tribute to his devotion to duty, his adherence to high principles, his leadership, his ability to inspire confidence, and his ability to get things done no matter what the obstacles.
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## INTRODUCTION

This is the second report on Washington's bridges. The purpose of the report is to illustrate the major bridges and highway structures located in the District of Columbia and give the history of their development. Typical examples of minor highway structures are also depicted.

The report is directed to engineers, historians, and others who are interested in a factual and graphic record of the evolution of bridge building to meet the continually expanding requirements of vehicular traffic in the Nation's Capital.

A bridge may be defined broadly, in the parlance of engineers, as a structure providing passage over a waterway, a valley, a road, or other obstruction or interruption, without closing the way beneath.

It is more difficult to classify bridges than to define them, however, simply because of the number of bases which can be employed for classification. For instance, they may be classified by their purpose or function, as aqueduct, viaduct, highway, railway, or footbridge; by their material, as timber, masonry, iron, steel or reinforced concrete; by their type, as beam, truss, arch, or suspension; by their interspan relations, as simple, cantilever, or continuous; by the relative position of the bridge floor, as deck, through, or suspended; by the method of joining members, as pin-connected, riveted, or welded, or by the method of providing clearance for navigation, as fixed or movable.

The basis selected for classifying the various structures covered in this report is their purpose or function.

Since the functional attributes of bridges have been given precedence as a method of classification, the effect may be to understate the significance of other attributes, which perhaps might serve as equally sound bases for the presentation of this material. However, detailed structural data are given in outline form for each structure exhibited in this report.

The first report, which was published in 1948, contains a detailed history of the development of some of the major crossings since the beginning of the nineteenth century. It also exhibits sketches and photographs of bridges in the District from the humble pile and trestle type, common between 1800 and 1875, to the metal deck and through truss structures, designed to carry heavier interurban railroad loadings, which were constructed widely during the last quarter of the nineteenth century.

The historical information in its entirety has been incorporated into the current report. The rest of the material contained in the 1948 publication has been supplemented and revised. First, illustrations and technical data are given for highway bridges and structures which have been constructed since 1948. Second, a new section, depicting typical culverts, has been added. Third, a revised set of regulations governing the issuance of permits for moving special loads on highways and bridges, including a
revised table of loads and clearances, is shown in the Appendix.

The city of Washington, like most of the cities which were established along the eastern seaboard during the 18th and 19th centuries, was founded on the banks of a navigable river to occupy the most advantageous site available as a terminal and shipping point for goods moving by ship, the fastest mode of transportation known in that era of impassable roads and unfordable rivers.

With trade expansion and the spread of colonization beyond the riparian margin however, there arose a steady demand for permanent roads and bridges to accommodate the shift from waterborne to overland commerce. At first, turnpike and ferry companies were formed to pioneer in the development of this new line of communication and, later, these groups of private citizens also ventured into the field of bridge-building to satisfy the growing need for highway crossings over the Potomac and Anacostia rivers.

The bridges were valuable as time and la-bor-saving devices in that they obviated the necessity of unloading goods and produce for transfer by ferry and reloading on the opposite shore. More important, they were agents which helped to bring about a more closely knit physical, economic and social union between the agrarian settlements in Virginia and Maryland and the mercantile centers of Georgetown and Washington.

The bridges which exist today on these historic sites are major units of the Bistrict of Columbia's highway system, essential not only to the smooth functioning of the local economy, but equally vital as links in the chain of national routes which connect the vast production and consumer areas located in the coastal states. They serve hundreds of thousands of motorists who travel each year to points of interest and recreation areas along the Atlantic coast.

The order of presentation of the bridges covered by this report is based solely on their functional characteristics; it is not necessarily indicative of the importance, traffic-wise or engineering-wise, of the individual bridges.

The first group covers the Potomac and Anacostia River crossings and the major bridges that connect the business and government districts with the residential areas. It also covers the major structures affording passage over or through Rock Creek Park.

The second group consists of secondary bridges over Rock Creek, Piney Branch, Oxon Run, and Watts Branch.

The third is made up of highway facilities, such as the viaduct and underpass, which permit the safe crossing of pedestrians and vehicles over and under railroad tracks.

The fourth includes structures which are designed to isolate two or more opposing flows of traffic passing through a common intersection.

The fifth group consists of several culverts which are typical of those constructed in the District of Columbia.


John N. Robertson
Director of Highways

Samuel R. Harrison
Deputy Director of Highways


Gerard I. Sawyer
Chief of the Office of Planinng, Design and Engineering

In preserving and augmenting the highway system of the District of Columbia, one of the principal functions of the Department of Highways is the construction of bridges and other highway structures including tunnels, viaducts, underpasses, overpasses, culverts, wharves, and retaining walls.

For a number of years subsequent to 1892, when a Bridge Division was first established, the Engineer of Bridges himself carried the entire burden of structural inspection, the preparation of contract plans, and the supervision of bridge construction for the Government of the District of Columbia. By 1917, however, the Bridge Division had acquired three designers, who helped in supervising construction operations, and a maintenance unit for the inspection and repair of existing highway structures. An Assistant Engineer of Bridges and an engineer in charge of maintenance had also been added to the staff by that time.

From 1917 to 1947, the Bridge Division expanded its staff from time to time to cope with the increasing requirements for new construction caused by the heavy growth of traffic during those decades. This very expansion, however, resulted in an overload of work for the Engineer of Bridges in that all of the personnel came directly under his supervision. To
lighten this Burden, the Bridge Division was reorganized in 1947 and two separate sections were created: the Bridge Design Section, under the supervision of a Bridge Design Engineer; and the Bridge Construction Section, under the supervision of a Bridge Construction Engineer.

Thereafter, however, the Bridge Division was abolished under the District Commissioners' Reorganization Order No. 53 of June 30, 1953. At that time, the Bridge Construction Section was given independent status as a division, while the Bridge Design Section was placed in the Division of Design and Engineering, a unit of the Office of Planning, Design, and Engineering.

An outline of this organızation, similar to those employed by many cities and states today, may be seen on the accompanying chart.

The Chief of the Office of Planning, Design, and Engineering now has the responsibility, among others, of developing plans and designs for the building of structural highway improvements. To discharge this responsibility, he has three divisions under his direction. They are the Planning Division, headed by a Chief Planning Engineer; the Design and Engineering Division, headed by an Engineer of Design; and the Office Engineering Division, headed by an Office Engineer.


## FORMER BRIDGE ENGINEERS

```
C. B. Hunt. . . . . . . . . 1892-1901
W. J. Douglas. . . . . . . . 1901-1910
T. C. J. Bailey. . . . . . . . 1910-1913
D. V. McComb. . . . . . . . 1913-1928
C. R. Whyte. . . . . . . . . . 1928-1948
H. R. Howser.
.1948-1953
```
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## GROUP I

PRINCIPAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES

## Potomac River Crossings

Chain Bridge

There has been a bridge standing on this site since the end of the 18 th century. The first effort to span the Potomac river came as a result of the political and economic freedom which followed the country's victorious emergence from the struggle for independence. England had released her hold on colonial commerce and shipping, and the spirit of trade surged untrammeled through the land. With it arose the need for roads and bridges to facilitate the overland movement of goods. The Georgetown Bridge Company must have been composed of alert and resourceful men, for they were the first to feel the accelerated pulse of expansion under the impetus of free enterprise, and to act accordingly, in December of 1791, by forming a corporation, under charter of the Maryland Assembly, to erect a toll bridge.

The site which they selected for the river crossing was located approximately three miles above Georgetown and has been retained to the present time as a link between Canal Road and the Leesburg Turnpike. The incorporators gave notice that books for receiving subscriptions would be opened July 1, 1795, for 400 shares at $\$ 200$ per share. The Company also informed prospective investors that Timothy Palmer "an artist eminently distinguished" had been retained as the designer of the structure.

The timber bridge was opened to traffic in the year 1797, and remained in service until 1804, when the first of a series of misfortunes which was to threaten this slender life-line of commerce overtook it. It collapsed under the strain of an increasing traffic consisting largely of droves of heavy, waterlogged cattle which, in those days, were made to drink at Pimmett Run before crossing to the auction pens on the northern shore of the Potomac. Shortly thereafter, a new superstructure, again of wood, was constructed to bridge the river at a point 37 feet above normal water level. Disaster struck for a second time and the bridge was destroyed by fire after having been in use for only six months.

Whether or not the gentlemen of the Georgetown Bridge Company, daunted by these reverses, considered the merits of abandoning the venture or filing a petition in bankruptcy is unknown, for the record is not clear on this point. For the next four years, there was no attempt to replace the structure. By 1808 however, some courageous stockholder must have succeeded in rallying the flagging spirits of the corporation, for in that year, a new bridge was erected, the first to bear the designation by which it has been known ever since. It was a sturdy affair, designed by James Finley, Esq., a judge from Uniontown, Pa. Made of heavy wooden members it was suspended from huge chains anchored in the masonry of high stone towers on each bank of the river to gain the added strength needed to support the 136 -foot span

Although this design gave it an advantage of suspension, presumably out of range of the rampaging river, it still did not have an even chance against the forces of nature. Placed in service in 1810, the first "Chain Bridge" lasted but two years before being swept away in the flood waters that had engulfed its predecessors.

The incorporators gave up in despair and a measure of control in the enterprise passed from their hands into those of the Federal Government when, in 1811, an Act of Congress authorized the appropriation of the necessary funds to rebuild a second chain-suspended structure. Public ownership was assured in 1833, when a joint session of the Georgetown Board of Aldermen and the Common Council approved purchase of the bridge from the original investors for the sum of $\$ 150,000$ and abolished all private rights to levy tolls. This structure was seriously damaged by high waters in 1852, and the following year all vestiges of private and municipal control disappeared when Congress appropriated $\$ 30,000$ to restore it as a single span over the channel only.
(Continued on page 18)


CHAIN BRIDGE-1839
Reproduced from a sketch which appeared in Vol. VI of the Family Magazine.

En $\square \square \square \square$



For the next twenty-two years, the fortunes of "Chain Bridge" ebbed and flowed like the troubled waters that ran turbulently beneath its short-lived spans. Finally, in 1874, the plans for a substantial structure of eight iron truss spans with timber floor, resting on cast iron seats secured by stone copings, were approved by the Corps of Engineers. The Phoenix Bridge Company, under contract with the War Department, threw a 1351 -foot bridge across the shallow gorge and "Chain Bridge" achieved at last a relatively secure and permanent status.

The years passed without having any more serious effect on the structure than is to be expected from normal wear and tear. Designed to sustain a concentrated vehicular load of 6 tons it played its part well in the years which witnessed the phenomenal growth of the country in the last decades of the 19th century.

The first signs of eventual failure came in the year 1910, when theVirginia abutment showed some outward movement, and in 1926, a thorough investigation revealed that a large cavity in the foundation of the abutment had been formed by the erosive action of the river in flood. Although the examining engineers were at variance concerning the seriousness of the failure one of the officials declared that "indications point to the fact that when failure occurs, it will come without warning." "Chain Bridge's" traditional enemy, the floods which had raged in 1923 has scored another victory.

On June 17, 1927, the Commissioners of the District of Columbia issued orders designed to protect the weakened structure from complete collapse. Vehicles were limited to a loaded weight of 4 tons and to a maximum speed of 8 miles per hour; a round-the-clock watch was posted at each end; periodic inspections were ordered, and the Engineer of Bridges was authorized by the Director of Highways, who was acting on orders from the Commissioners, to close the bridge to all traffic during periods of high water level- 5 feet or more over the normal level.

The threatened break-down of this link in an important artery between D C. and Virginia and the impending rupture in the travel habits of thousands of motorists which it implied, brought a storm of protest from residents of the whole area. The commuters contended that complete shut-down was unnecessary until the act of crossing the bridge became, in itself, a matter of life and death. The residents of Arlington fearful for their water supply which came to them via the 8 -inch mains carried by the bridge, insisted that it be closed. This drastic measure was resorted to at midnight, July 12, 1927, when the Commissioners ordered the bridge closed to all except pedestrian traffic. The crumbling abutment was replaced at a cost of $\$ 39,205$ with concrete instead of stone, by hoisting the end of the bridge on an A-frame to permit the new work to go into place without dismantling any part of the superstructure.

The restored structure was further damaged by the flood of 1936 and was again repaired. But the strain of 50 long and arduous years of service could no longer be eradicated with paint and patches This recent ordeal had "robbed" "Chain Bridge" of the last of its fading strength. Furthermore, a new enemy had joined forces with the hostile river-obsolescence. The twenty-foot roadway was no longer adequate in the face of mounting traffic volumes and increasing loads, and a stronger and wider bridge was needed to serve the increasing flows of motorcars.

The superstructure was dismantled and replaced by steel cantilever girders resting on the old stone piers of Potomac blue stone which had remained firm through the years, but which had to be raised approximately 5 feet for additional clearance. Approved by the Fine Arts Commission, the new steel girder bridge designed by the firm of Modjeski, Masters and Chase was constructed at a cost of $\$ 393,000$ by the Tuller Construction Company under the supervision of the Commissioners. It was completed in 1938 and opened to traffic in that year with highway approaches redesigned to provide a greater degree of access to the improved crossing.

Francis Scott Key Bridge

The first bridge to span the Potomac River at this site was called "The Aqueduct," and in a report to the Secretary of War, dated January 2, 1869, General Michler described it in these words: "Upon the piers rests a wooden superstructure consisting of the trunk of the aqueduct and the tow-path . . . and above that the bridge proper composed of the roadway, footway, and parapets for the passage of persons, animals and vehicles."
This extraordinary structure was conceived and erected by a group of enterprising Alexandrians who obtained a charter from Congress in 1830, for the purpose of constructing an aqueduct to extend the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal across the Potomac River. The aqueduct, consisting of a large wooden trough resting on stone piers, carried a branch of the Canal which was divided at this point to allow the other branch to flow into the river near Rock Creek. The piers were constructed of heavy blocks of gneiss, found on the banks of the Potomac above the bridge, with icebreakers of cut granite from the quarries of Sandy Bay, Massachusetts. Major Turnbull of the U. S. Topographical Engineers was in charge of the construction.
The bridge across the river consisted of two trusses of the Howe combination type, strengthened by arches of wood which were made to abut against each other-an iron plate separating the ends-instead of being imbedded in the stone piers. At the north end of the bridge, a trestle carried the roadway over the canal to connect with one of the streets of Georgetown. At the south end, another trestle connected with a causeway leading to the bridge. Completed in 1843, the aqueduct was opened to traffic but does not appear to have had the success which the incorporators of the Alexandria Canal, Railroad and Bridge Company had so enthusiastically predicted for their project in 1830. The tolls charged may have been too high or the merchants of Georgetown
may have boycotted the structure as it favored the rival town of Alexandria. At any rate, the timber construction soon began to rot and leak and it had to be abandoned as a link in the canal system for water-borne commerce which extended from the eastern base of the Alleghenies across the valley of the Potomac.

When the Civil War broke out, the Government took possession of the bridge for military use and it remained under federal control until 1866, when a new company leased it from the original company for a period of 99 years. A wagon bridge was erected on the old piers and the company charged tolls which were so exorbitant as to keep the citizens of Georgetown and Alexandria in a state of constant agitation for the next thirty years. During this troubled period, Congress was being constantly petitioned by the embittered residents of these two communities for relief in the form of a new bridge at the site known as the Three Sisters Island or in freeing the Aqueduct Bridge by Government purchase. Finally, in December of 1885, the Riddleberger bill was passed, authorizing an appropriation of $\$ 125,000$ for the purchase of the bridge or, in the event of disagreement as to the terms of the proposed sale, for the erection of another bridge at the Three Sisters Island. The owners of the structure took their own good time in reaching the decision to sell, which they did on December 21, 1886, on the eve of the expiration date of the offer to purchase.

Work on the construction of a new bridge, to rest on the old piers, was immediately started under the supervision of Col. Peter C. Haines of the Corps of Engineers. The contract was awarded to the Mt. Vernon Bridge Company, which submitted a bid for $\$ 80,905$ exclusive of the approaches on which the sum of $\$ 50,000$ was expended. Including the purchase price the total cost of the structure amounted to $\$ 255,905$, half of which was to be paid by the District of Columbia.

The new superstructure consisted of one 164 -foot through truss span over the Canal, one 122.5 -foot iron trestle and nine deck truss spans, each measuring 114 feet, with a timber floor roadway 24 feet wide, flanked by walkways 3 feet wide. By this time, the Turnbull substructure was beginning to show signs of failure and the heavy floods of 1889 weakened them so seriously, that three of the eight piers had to be torn down and rebuilt between 1897 and 1907. Large cavities in the others were filled with bags of concrete, and riprap was piled around the foundations to protect them as much as possible from excessive scour.

In February, 1916, the Senate Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce reported in favor of a bill, setting up the necessary legal and financial machinery to dismantle the Aqueduct Bridge and build a new one at a cost not exceeding one million dollars; the bill also
provided an additional $\$ 50,000$ for the maintenance and repair of the old bridge until completion of the new structure. This action followed a report made by the District Engineer Officer, in which he declared the bridge to be unworthy for public travel and recommended that not more than one streetcar be permitted to cross the bridge at the same time, that maximum loads be limited to 4 tons and that the bridge be closed to all traffic during periods of heavy ice movement.

The following entry dated Saturday, July 15, 1916, appears in the U. S. Engineers Office diary for "Key" Bridge: "Began survey in connection with new bridge." It is the first of many entries made by the officer-in-charge of construction, reflecting the slow rise of the massive structure from the muddy bottom of the Potomac River to its completion almost seven years later, when it was opened to traffic on


January 17, 1923. Shortages of material and manpower, caused by the first World War, and congressional reluctance to appropriate more funds than were originally allocated for the project, as prices rose to higher levels, combined to produce delays. It was finally completed, and the new magnificent structure, which was placed in service on that winter's day of 1923, was dedicated in honor of Francis Scott Key, the author of the Star Spangled Banner, whose home, Cumberland House, stood until recently near the bridge which bears his name.
The seven reinforced concrete, open spandrel, ribbed arch spans tower to a height of 72 feet at the center of the channel. The structure consists of solid concrete piers, resting on footings founded on a rock bed approximately 25 feet beneath low water. A seventh span at the Virginia end was constructed in 1939,
by the U. S. Public Roads Administration to overpass one of the roadways in the newly developed park system along the river. With the exception of this portion, the entire structure was constructed under the supervision of and from plans drawn by the Corps of Engineers, at a cost of $\$ 2.5$ millions. Containing approximately 68,000 cubic yards of concrete, it extends from Georgetown in the District of Columbia to Rosslyn, Virginia for an overall distance of 1,791 feet and 6 inches. In 1949 the Department of Highways constructed ramps between the Key Bridge and the Whitehurst Freeway, which was opened to traffic October 8,1949 . The freeway is a four-lane, elevated structure extending along the line of K Street between the bridge and 27th Street. Operations are now underway to widen the roadway of the Key Bridge from a width of 50 feet to a width of 66 feet.

## Long Bridge and Highway Bridge

A matter of lopping four miles from the itinerary of the Great Mail Route between the North and the South decided Congress, in 1808, to authorize the erection of a toll bridge over the Potomac at the foot of 14th Street, near its intersection with Maryland Avenue. The Washington Bridge Company was formed under a charter, which authorized a capital stock issue of $\$ 200,000$ in shares at $\$ 100$ par value for public subscription. The return on the investment was to be assured by the revenue from toll levies ranging from 3 cents per head for sheep and swine, to 100 cents for stages and coaches.

The attractive nature of this monopoly inspired several contractors from the city of Alexandria to construct a similar facility, in the form of a toll road, leading from that city to the southern end of the bridge. The subsequent trail of mishaps, which reduced the efficiency of the bridge by forcing its closing for extended periods, proved the facilities to be rather risky for investment purposes. They were never profitable.

The first threat to endanger the structure of timber trusses came with the military invasion of August 25, 1814, when British troops set the Washington end afire to forestall a surprise attack from the South during their stay in the city, while the retreating American forces burned the Virginia end to prevent pursuit. This appears to have been a purely tactical course of action on the part of attacker and defender, designed to maintain the status quo. They were evidently satisfied with this arrangement, for the fires were extinguished before they could spread to the long timber bridge-work across the shoals, over which a stone causeway was later constructed.

A sudden shift in strategy and the resulting change in theatre of operations saved the bridge from complete destruction but the respite was brief. An older, stronger and more unreasonable antagonist-the Potomac-rose in the spring of 1831 and the superstructure was carried away by the flood. The fortunes of the incorporators of the Washington Bridge Company were swept away with the wreckage, and by an Act of Congress, which was approved July 14, 1832, the Federal Government purchased all remaining assets, including the franchise, for $\$ 47,000$. Acquired in the public interest, the bridge was then made free.

Against the protests of the citizens of Georgetown, who claimed that the piles, upon which it rested, interfered with navigation to and from their wharves by reducing the river rate of out-flow by $30 \%$, and caused heavy deposits of alluvia to obstruct the channel, the bridge was rebuilt on the plan of the one originally erected by the Washington Bridge Company. It was completed in October of 1835, by Stephen Clarke and Alanson Sumner of New York, at a cost of $\$ 114,126$, a figure which is substantially lower than the sum of $\$ 200,000$ which was appropriated for the work. By an Act of Congress of March, 1839, the municipal jurisdiction of the Corporation of the City of Washington was extended over the Long Bridge.

Damaged repeatedly by ice, floods and river craft which, during storms, broke loose from their moorings and smashed against the superstructure, Long Bridge continued to serve as an important-though, at times, somewhat rickety-link between the national capital and Virginia. Over it fled the demoralized Union troops after the disaster of Bull Run. Over it



## (Continued from page 24)

passed the baggage and supply trains to and from the front in the Virginia campaigns. It was supplemented, during the Civil War, by a railroad bridge built under a federal charter by the Washington, Alexandria and Georgetown Railroad Company at a point approximately 75 feet below and parallel with the Long Bridge. When the Long Bridge failed during these crucial years, the Government took over the railroad structure as its only line of communication and supply with its field armies, and it has, since that time, been used by various carriers as a connection between the railroads operating to the north and to the south of the Potomac.

The structure had fallen, by the time the war ended, into a very insecure and dilapidated state, and the citizens of Alexandria were clamoring for its restoration on a more substantial basis.

According to a report made to the Hon. O. H. Browning, Secretary of the Interior in 1868, a civil engineer named Silas Seymour made a survey of the situation and recommended abandonment of the oisolete structure for purposes of common travel in favor of a new bridge at a point approximately 1000 feet upstream. He described the old mile-long, timber structure as resting upon decayed crib and pile foundations, protected and bolstered by mounds of riprap stone and having draws of a very "antiquated plan." In 1940, the old abutments were uncovered by excavations made in the area, in connection with the construction of the grade separation at 14th Street and Maine Avenue, S. W.
In 1870, the Baltimore and Potomac Railroad Company assumed control of the bridge, with the understanding that it would be maintained in the proper condition for railway and ordinary travel. Construction of a new Hotve truss bridge began in that same year. The old causeway on the flats was replaced by another, 1,960 feet in length, upheld by retaining walls of solid masonry filled with earth and gravel. From each shore to the causeway, the superstructure was of wood and iron resting on stone piers. The draws over the channels were of iron. The floods of 1877 and 1881 seriously
weakened this structure and it had to be rebuilt again in 1884-1885. An Act of Congress, approved February 12, 1901, authorized a new double track railroad bridge to be built and paid for by the Baltimore and Potomac, or Pennsylvania Railroad, and a new Highway Bridge to be built and paid for, jointly, by the District of Columbia and the federal government from plans to be approved by the Secretary of War.
By 1905 it became increasingly apparent that the highway bridge would have to be separated from the railroad bridge and the existing crossing on U.S. Route No. 1, referred to either as the Fourteenth Street Bridge or the Highway Bridge, was erected 1,000 feet upstream from the location of the old combined structure and opened to traffic in December, 1906. The bridge was constructed by the Pennsylvania Bridge Company, at a cost of $\$ 1,389,702$, from plans drawn by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers.

The superstructure of this bridge consists of eleven fixed through Pratt truss spans of steel, each 216 feet long, and one through truss movable, rim-bearing, swing span, 290 feet long. The spans derive support from stone piers, timber piles and two masonry abutments. The roadway surface provided by the bridge is 40 feet wide and is flanked by two sidewalks each measuring 8 feet in width; the trusses are not wide enough to allow the safe passage of four lanes of vehicles. Limited to 35 tons with the load moving in the center of the roadway, the structure has an overall length of 2,234 feet 7 inches. The original steel buckle plate floor was removed in 1928 and replaced by a 7 inch creosoted laminated timber floor with sheet asphalt cover, at a cost of $\$ 168,680$. The Virginia end was redesigned by the Public Roads Administration in 1930, when the development of the Mount Vernon Boulevard necessitated the removal of two spans in order to provide space for clover-leaf turns to and from a lower level roadway underpassing the bridge.

Nearly 50 years old, this structure has been in need of replacement because of its physical condition and lack of capacity to absorb increased loads of traffic. The need was recog-
nized as pressing in 1943, when the Department of Highways, together with the Public Roads Administration, undertook extensive studies to determine the design of a new crossing. As a result of those studies, the construction of two spans, each with a capacity of four lanes of traffic, to replace the old bridge, was recommended to the Congress in 1945.

The 79th Congress enacted Public Law 516 on July 16, 1947, approving the construction of two four-lane spans, but limiting expenditures
for the two structures to $\$ 7,000,000$. Construction of the first of the two spans was started August 1, 1947, and it was opened to traffic May 9, 1950. The total cost of the first structure, which amounted to about $\$ 5,600,000$ because of postwar rises in the prices of labor and materials made it necessary to postpone temporarily the construction of the second span. The new structure is located between the Railroad Bridge and the old Highway Bridge. It presently serves District-bound traffic, while the old one serves Virginia-bound traffic.





ARLINGTON MEMORIAL BRIDGE
Spanning the Potomac River on a site first selected by President Andrew Jackson, this bridge, completed in 1932, is considered one of the outstanding structures of its type in the world. This is a view looking towards Arlington.

## Structural Data

Location: across the Potomac River, on a line joining the Lincoln Memorial with the Lee Mansion, Arlington, Va.

Type: multiple span concrete arches with double-leaf bascule span.
Overall length: $2138^{\prime}$ between terminal pylons
Width of roadway: 60
Width of roadway: $60{ }^{\prime}$ each
Design load: H20

Special design load: 40 -ton tank in curb lanes
Architects: McKim, Mead \& White, New York City
Contractors: 40 contractors under supervision of Arlington Bridge Commission.
Built: 1932
Approximate cost: $\$ 6,650,000$


## Anacostia River Crossings

## Preface

The bridges over the Eastern Branch of the Potomac, the Anacostia River, have a long and eventful background dating back to the end of the Revolutionary period in American History. They have played and are still playing an important part in the economic development of the eastern and southeastern sections of the District of Columbia.

To judge from the numerous petitions with which the inhabitants of the area, then known as Uniontown, sought to influence Congress into supporting various projects to bridge the river, and in which the difficulties in reaching the expanding markets of Alexandria and Georgetown were recited vehemently and at length, it appears that there was considerable interest and feeling among the farmers and landowners of that section of Maryland concerning the construction of a crossing over the Anacostia River. It also appears that the ferry which transferred men and produce from one bank to the other did not render adequate service especially in view of the high tolls charged by the ferry company.

During the twenty-five year period extending roughly from 1795 to 1820 , there were three bridge companies chartered by the Maryland Legislature, with the approval of Congress, and formed under the guidance of plantation owners and influential men of that time, to finance the construction of as many toll bridges across the Eastern Branch. These bridges were of the inexpensive wooden pile-and-trestle type common in that era of plentiful timber and rare coin. The struggling young republic could not afford to copy the elaborate and graceful arched structures of masonry with which the rulers of Europe sought to enhance the prestige and perpetuate the glory of their individual reigns.

The life-expectancy rate of the original tim-
ber bridges, already lowered by reasons of their inadequate design, was reduced further by the general practice of declaring excessive dividends to the incorporators and diverting all revenues from toll collections into a fictitious profit pool at the expense of maintenance and replacement or obsolescence funds. The results of this short-sighted policy soon became apparent in the poor condition of the structures and, when pressed by public opinion and charged with a degree of negligence that endangered life and property, the owners would plead poverty and slender return on the capital investment. In some cases the owners would sponsor a campaign designed to persuade the community into purchasing the depreciated bridge

There is documentary evidence that a definite shaping of public and official opinion, toward the middle of the 19th century, in favor of freeing highway crossings on important turnpikes was taking place. A petition signed by leading citizens of Washington and adjoining counties in Maryland was read to Congress on January 27, 1846, and recited that substantial benefits would accrue to the community at large by making free the two bridges across the Anacostia River, then known as the Navy Yard and the Anacostia Bridges. The charges were made by the petitioners that the tolls were too high and acted in restraint of trade and progress. The correctness of this point of view was borne out by the rapid and extensive development of communication and colonization which, in the latter half of the century, reached into all parts of the U.S. with the advent of steam transportation, the erection of durable metal bridges and free roads to abolish the last remaining frontiers. This expansion would undoubtedly have been retarded by any attempt to continue the toll system.


## The Anacostia Bridge at 11th Street, S.E.

The structure which stands at present on the site of the original pile-and-trestle timber bridge was constructed under the provisions of an Act of Congress approved April 27, 1904, by the Pennsylvania Bridge Company at a cost of approximately $\$ 459,413$, and completed in 1908.

It is a steel girder arch deck bridge consisting of six 129 -foot spans and a bascule draw span with a clear opening of 103 feet. The superstructure is supported by 6 concrete piers on timber piles, which are carried down to about 25 feet below the pier footings, and by two U-shaped concrete abutments. Each arch span comprises 6 plate girder arched ribs, each having three hinges, one at the crown and two at the springing line. The double leaf bascule span, composed of two arch plate girders, is operated on a trunnion about which
each counterbalanced leaf of the bascule rotates by means of electrically driven machinery. All bracing against tensile and compressive stresses is below the floor. In 1930, the buckle plate floor was replaced with a reinforced concrete pavement, and in 1943, the timber floor on the draw span was replaced by a steel grid.

This 48-year-old bridge was constructed to replace an earlier structure erected in 1875 by the bridge-building firm of Clarke, Reeves and Co., of Phoenixville, Pa. It was composed of 14 wrought iron deck truss spans of the type known as the "Murphy-Whipple Truss" resting upon piers and abutments of masonry. Including a 338 foot section of causeway, the bridge measured 1700 feet and provided a 20 -foot roadway in the clear, and a 5 -foot sidewalk for the passage of vehicles and pedestrians.


## The John Philip Sousa Bridge

The extension of Pennsylvania Avenue over the Anacostia River has been in existence since 1804, when the first bridge to stand at the site of the ferry landing, which it superseded, was constructed by the Eastern Branch Bridge Company. Under charter of the Maryland Legislature, granted in an Act approved December 24,1795 , the incorporators were authorized to float a capital stock issue of 225 shares, with a par value of $\$ 200$, and to declare annual dividends from the toll collections for a period of thirty years, after which the bridge would pass under Federal control. It was proposed to erect a structure 20 feet wide to extend from the foot of Kentucky Avenue to the property of a person named Wigfield or Wakefield, one of the directors of the company, across the river, and, for this reason, the bridge was built on a diagonal angle. In a letter written at Philadelphia and dated November 27, 1795, President Washington expressed unqualified approval of the project.

After a short ten-year period of service, the bridge was fired on August 24, 1814, by American forces under the command of Captain Creighton, a naval officer, and partially destroyed. It appears that this unfortunate measure, taken to prevent the bridge from falling into hostile hands, was ill-advised for the British ignored the bridge and its immediate vicinity and chose Bladensburg Road as the invasion route into Washington.

Under the provisions of an Act of March 3, 1815 , the sum of $\$ 20,500$ was appropriated to satisfy the claims of the Eastern Branch Bridge Company against the Navy Department and the bridge was restored to its original state. In 1845, another accident befell it when the sparks of a passing steamer ignited the wooden superstructure and burned it to the water line. It was never rebuilt as a timber structure.

During the ensuing years there was much agitation by the residents of the southeast district of Washington and Maryland to replace


the highway crossing at Pennsylvania Avenue but their individual efforts met with little success. It was not until 1886, when the pressure of a "bridge bloc" lobbying under the name of the East Washington Citizens Association finally succeeded in obtaining an appropriation of $\$ 110,000$ which was carried in an Act approved by Congress on February 23, 1887, that the restoration of a highway crossing on this site became a definite possibility.

The contract for the construction of a wrought iron deck truss bridge was awarded to the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company of Groton, N. Y., which submitted a low bid of $\$ 105,000$, implemented by the time limit guarantee of August 1, 1888. The work began immediately and was prosecuted vigorously under the able direction of Lt. Col. Hains, C.E.

The contractors were released from the timelimit clause when the Government entered into litigation with the Baltimore \& Potomac Railroad Company to determine the exact limits of the Company's right-of-way on the northwestern bank of the Anacostia River. The dispute ended with the railroad company in possession of additional right-of-way for a proposed new line, and the Government facing the added expense of altering the design of the bridge to include another Whipple truss span. The sum of $\$ 60,000$ was appropriated to make the changes.
The structure which was completed and opened to general traffic on August 25, 1890two years later than it should have under normal conditions-consisted of two 190-foot through spans over the rails, and ten 112 -foot spans resting on stone piers and abutments on pile and grillage foundations. A low-level crossing, it supported a 24 -foot roadway and two 4 foot walkways of oak balk and stringers at a bare three feet above the highest freshet line.

Approximately 1,521 feet long, it still followed the diagonal path of its predecessor across the river. This iron bridge, typical of the hundreds of structures which were being constructed at the close of the 19th century by the few large bridge companies that had survived the stiff competition in this field, was principally designed for economic fabrication and ease of erection. It lasted 50 years and finally succumbed to the pressing requirements of modern traffic volumes which it could no longer meet.

An appropriation of $\$ 2,000,000$ was authorized by Congress in the District of Columbia Appropriation Act for the fiscal year 1939 to replace the obsolete bridge of 1890. Construction was begun in 1938 and progressed rapidly so that by the following year, it was possible to accommodate traffic on two completed lanes while the old bridge was being dismantled. The structure, completed in 1940, consists of nine 154 -foot curved steel girder spans on concrete piers and abutments faced with stone and founded on piles and precast concrete in the cellular approaches. There was no necessity for a draw span due to the fact that the vertical clearance measures 36 feet at mean low water and provides more than the 31 -foot headway required by the U. S. District Engineer. There are two 30 -foot lanes separated by a 4 -foot median strip, each capable of carrying a double line of vehicles; the reinforced concrete, as-phalt-surfaced roadway is flanked by 6 -foot sidewalks. Live loads are limited to 45 tons with the trailer proceeding along the curb lanes. This bridge was designed by the engineering firm of Parsons, Klapp, Brinckerhoff \& Douglas of New York, with McKimm, Mead \& White as consulting architects.

It was named the John Philip Sousa Bridge in honor of the composer and bandmaster by an act of Congress approved March 7, 1939.

would certainly have existed to petition Congress for a serviceable bridge, had this facility been left too long in a state of disrepair.

The metal bridge which replaced the timber bridge on this site was an iron trestle structure, erected in 1892 by the Keystone Bridge Company, at a cost of approximately $\$ 60,000$, and consisted of 16 spans of 25 feet each and 3 spans of 33 feet 4 inches each, on stone piers and abutments. It was 500 feet long and provided passage for vehicles and pedestrians on a 24 -foot roadway and 2 five-foot sidewalks. The inadequate roadway caused the facility to
become obsolete and it was dismantled in 1934 upon completion of the bridge which stands today.

This structure consists of steel beams on concrete piers and abutments resting on timber piles, and is comprised of five 55 -foot spans, two of 55 feet 9 inches, and two of 77 feet 6 inches for an overall length of 586 ft . It was constructed by the Kaufman Construction Company to provide two 32 -foot roadways and a 24 -foot right-of-way for streetcars. The streetcar tracks were dismantled in 1949 to provide two 40 -foot roadways with an 8 -foot median.




Highway Extensions Over Rock<br>Creek Valley

## PREFACE

Originating in the central section of southern Maryland, Rock Creek meanders downward through the District of Columbia to form a valley whose tree-covered slopes vary in degree of pitch from gentle declivity to steep escarpment. As the population rose to overflow beyond the limits of the old city laid out by Pierre l'Enfant, this gorge presented an obstacle to the development of the northwestern portion of the District.

It was proposed at first to fill in the valley by turning it into a dumping ground, and raising the floor to the level of Massachusetts Avenue which was the first street to be extended over Rock Creek on an earth filled culvert. This plan was abandoned in favor of a more aesthetically correct one which involved the construction of bridges over the valley, as new streets were laid out, to carry the increasing traffic generated by the development of new residential areas.

The bridges erected for the purpose of extending the permanent street system, during the last two decades of the 19th century, were of the steel deck truss type commonly found at that time in the majority of growing American cities. The Massachusetts Avenue culvert with its 50 -foot brick and concrete barrel arch span and earth-fill roadway, the K Street through plate girder bridge and the celebrated Meigs bridge on Pennsylvania Avenue, whose superstructure is supported on a single 198 -foot arch consisting of two cast iron water mảins 4 feet in diameter, were the principal exceptions. The deck truss structures were erected by some of the major steel bridge fabricating companies of that era, such as the Edgemoor Iron Company, the Youngstown Bridge Com-
pany and the Kellogg Bridge Company. The cost of these bridges ranged from an estimated $\$ 33,000$ for the K Street span to $\$ 70,000$ for the Calvert Street Bridge.

The important function of carrying traffic over Rock Creek valley was entrusted to these structures and the valley was saved from destruction. In later years, the whole area bordering the creek was turned into an urban park which offers recreational facilities such as bridle-paths, picnic groves, etc., in a rustic setting of unrivaled beauty. The creation of a network of highways, to follow the erratic course of Rock Creek and its tributaries through this woodland, necessitated the construction of new highway bridges to replace the old spans, in order that vehicles on the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway might have sufficient vertical and horizontal clearances. Increased traffic volumes, heavier vehicles, inadequate roadway widths, and wear and tear combined to render the original structures obsolete.

By this time, the various planning bodies, professionally engaged in the improvement of Washington on a scale commensurate with the rank that the city holds as one of the world's famous capitals, were prepared to pass upon the suitability of plans for the replacement structures. The results of this ability to combine future traffic requirements with a design that has functional as well as architectural excellence are illustrated on the following pages. The high standards set by the William Howard Taft bridge, constructed a generation ago, were maintained in the highway bridges that, today, span Rock Creek Park.


The William Howard Taft Bridge

This high-level concrete barrel arch structure first called the Million-Dollar Bridge, was constructed to serve principally as a highway bridge to carry Connecticut Avenue traffic over Rock Creek Valley for a distance of 1341 feet.

It consists of seven full center arches with two abutment spans of 82 feet each and five middle arch spans of 150 feet each. There are six full center spandrel arches above the five main arches with 14 -foot spans supported by transverse piers 3 feet thick and extending the full width of the bridge. The concrete, asphaltcovered roadway, which runs approximately 125 feet above the valley floor, is 40 feet wide, having been increased from its original width of 35 feet in 1936 by reduction of the width of footways to $51 / 2$ feet, and is laid on a four foot thick cushion of earth to absorb the sound of passing vehicles.

The preparation of the materials entering into the makeup of the structure excited some interest among engineers and contractors at the time of construction. This involved the
quarrying of granite like material, found in deposits near the bridge site, which was crushed, made into concrete and cast in the forms designed for the external parts of the structure. As a result of this prefabrication, the ring stones, brackets, mouldings, railings and several other units are all of precast concrete. There was no steel used as a reinforcing agent in the concrete blocks. The four lions on granite platforms at the terminals were executed by Roland Perry.

The Cranford Paving Company sunk the foundations to bedrock in 1897, and the superstructure was later erected by the District Construction Company which brought the project to completion in 1907, after long delays caused by insufficient appropriations. The plans for the $\$ 846,331$ bridge were prepared by the D. C. Bridge Division in consultation with George S. Morrison, engineer, and Edward Pearce Casey, architect. It replaced a low-level wrought iron deck truss bridge erected in 1888, known as Thompson's Bridge, which had spanned the creek only.


## Dumbarton Bridge

Sometimes referred to as the "Buffalo Bridge," this structure carries Q Street, N. W. from Georgetown to Massachusetts Avenue over Rock Creek and the low-level Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway which runs parallel to the watercourse. Built in 1914-1915 from a design by Glenn Brown, architect, to resemble a Roman aqueduct, this remarkably handsome structure turns gracefully on a 12-degree horizontal curve.

It consists of five full center reinforced concrete arch spans, the central span measuring 43 feet, with the flanking arches diminishing to 42 feet and 41 feet respectively; each arch is comprised of two ribs connected by concrete screens. The cantilevered walks and solid balustrades of sandstone are supported by a series of corbeled arches which emphasize the Roman design.

The bridge is 261 feet long between abutments which are founded on rock, as are the piers, and provides a divided roadway measuring $31^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ between curbs, and 2 sidewalks, each 7 feet wide. The bronze bisons, which are emplaced at the four corners of the bridge and constitute the main decorative feature, were designed by H. Phinister Proctor, New York City sculptor.

This bridge of reinforced concrete faced with sandstone was constructed from plans prepared by the District of Columbia Division of Bridges by the A. L. Guidone Company at a cost of approximately $\$ 223,553$.

DUMBARTON OR Q STREET BRIDGE, N. W.-1948 Structural Data

Location: Q Street, N. W., over Rock Creek<br>Type: multiple span concrete arches<br>Overall length: $342^{\prime}$<br>Center line radius: ${ }^{474^{\prime}}$<br>Width of sidewalks: $7^{\prime}$ each<br>Vidth of sidewalks: ${ }^{\prime}$ each ${ }^{\prime}$<br>Design load: 15.0 tons<br>Design load: 15.0 tons<br>Designers: D. C. Bridge Division<br>Designers: D. C. Bridge Division Architect: Glenn Brown, Washington, D. C. Architect: Glenn Brown, Washington, D. C. Built: 1915 Approximate cost: $\$ 223,553$






M STREET, N. W., OVER ROCK CREEK-1948 Structural Data
Location: M Street, N. W., over Rock Creek
Type: steel girders encased in concrete on simple spans
Overall length: $308^{\prime}$
Width of roadway: $40^{\prime}$
Width of sidewalks: $8^{\prime}$ each
Vertical highway clearance:
Design load: H20 clearance: 15
Design load: H20 design load: 35 -ton trailer (gross) in center lanes
Special design load: 35 -ton trailer (gross) in center lanes
Designers: D. C. Bridge Division
Contractors: Farris Engineering Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Built: 1929
Approximate cost: $\$ 185.475$


P STREET, N. W., OVER ROCK CREEK-1948 Federal Aid Project NRM 7

Location: P Street, N. W., over Rock Creek
Type: two-span concrete arch
Overall length: 336
Overal length: ${ }^{\text {Width of roadway: }} 40^{\prime}$
Width of sidewalks: $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$
Vertical highway clearances: east curb 16'-west curb $20^{\circ}$
Design load: H20
Special design load: 35 -ton trailer (gross) in center lanes
Designers: D. C. Bridge Division (gross) in center lanes
Contractors: Pecora, Gaskill Co., Baltimore, Md.
Built: 1935
Approximate cost: $\$ 193,054$





MOVING THE OLD CALVERT STREET BRIDGE TO MAKE ROOM FOR THE NEW The project depicted in the two photographs on this page concerns the lateral displace-
ment of 6 steel deck truss spans, assembled on steel towers, to temporary abutments and piers. The rigid steel structure, weighing several thousands tons, was moved 80 feet
in 7 hours and 15 minutes. Motive power was furnished by horses, harnessed to and transmitted to the bents which rested on rollers and rails. Two hours after com pletion of the job, all utility lines were hooked up and normal vehicular traffic resumed and awaiting the start of construction on the new Calvert Street Bridge.




## LOW-LEVEL FOURTEENTH STREET BRIDGE

 A unit in the network of highway facilities surrounding the grade separation Structural DataLocation: Fourteenth Street, S. W., over Tidal Basin
Type: steel beams on simple spans
Overall length: $229^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, (divided of roadway: $2^{\prime}$ median strip) Width of sidewalk: $12^{\prime}$ (west side only)
Special design loa
Designers: D Coad: 45 -ton trailer
Contractor: Charles H. Tompkins, Washington, D. C.
Approximate cost: $\$ 260,581$


EARLY MASONRY STRUCTURE CARRYING FOURTEENTH STREET, S. W. OVER WASHINGTON CHANNEL


## GROUP II

SECONDARY HIGHWAY BRIDGES






The building of the Atlantic Street Bridge over Oxon Run was the Department of Highway's first experience in the relatively new field of prestressed concrete construction. It is a single span structure with a composite concrete deck supported by nine prestressed concrete beams resting on concrete abutments. Each beam measures approximately 77 feet in length, three feet in width, and three feet and three inches in depth

Architecturally, the bridge presents an attractive appearance, featuring non-corrosive aluminum railings and fixtures. The outside beams are covered with a granite aggregate and the wing walls leading away from the span are faced with stone.

The nine concrete beams were prestressed at the construction site by the post-tensioning method, meaning the prestressing cables were tensioned after the concrete had hardened.

Forms to mold the beams were fabricated on the casting bed and the prestressing cables were placed in flexible sheaths running the full length of the beams. After the concrete was poured it was allowed to cure to a compressive strength of 4,000 pounds per square inch.

Subsequently, tensioning was accomplished with hydraulic jacks, which were clamped to the cables at the ends of the beams. Each jack was designed to tension the cables and to force cone-shaped plugs into anchorage devices. Pressure was applied to stretch the cables about five inches, at which point the conical plugs were forced into the anchoring device, wedging the cables firmly in place. The sheaths were then pressure grouted to provide additional anchorage for the cables. Lastly, cranes were positioned at each end of the bridge and the 42 -ton beams were lifted into place on the abutments.

When a load is applied to a conventional beam, compression stresses develop at the top of the beam, while tension stresses develop at the bottom, inducing a break or crack. In prestressed concrete design, steel cables are stretched and concrete compressed at the bottom of the beam, prior to the application of a load, thus employing the compressive strength of the concrete throughout the beam cross section. Prestressing builds up a compressive stress at the bottom of the beam counteracting the tension stress due to loading.



## GROUP III

## RAILROAD CROSSINGS







BENNING ROAD N. E. OVER KENILWORTH AVENUE AND THE PENNSYLVANIA AND B. \& O. RAILROADS
Structural Data

Type: steel girders on simple spans
Overall length: $1370^{\prime}$
(24. $24^{\prime}$ on each section

Width of sidewalk: $6^{\prime}$ (south section only)
Vertical clearances: (a) Kenilworth Avenue: $14^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$
(b) PRR: 24'

Design load: H20 (westbound) - $121 / 2$-ton truck (eastbound)
Special design load: 45 -ton trailer (gross) in center lane (westbound
) south only)
(a) south section: 1919

Designers: D. C. Bridge Division
Contractors: (a) south section: Snare \& Triest Co., New York City
(b) north section: Bahen \& Wright Inc., Washington, D.C

Approximate cost: (a) south section: $\$ 178,300$
(b) north section: $\$ 171,000$





Width of sidewalks: (a) on N. (a. Avenue: 50 Vertical clearances: (a) RR: $24^{\prime}$, to on' ${ }^{\prime} 5^{\prime}$ on each side Vertical clearances: (a) RR: ${ }^{24^{\prime} \text { to } 31^{\prime}}$ (b) N. Y. Avenue: 16 Design load: H20
Special design load: none
Designers: B\&O and PRR Rell
Contractors: McGraw Construction
Approximate cost: $\$ 512,800$ Constion Co., Philadelphia, Pa







## MAINE AVENUE S. W. UNDER PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD BRIDGE SERVING ALL RAILROADS TO THE SOUTH Federal Aid Project FAGM 24-B (1) <br> Type: through plate girder Overall length: $102^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ <br> Width of roadway: two 30 -foot lanes <br> Width of sidewalks: south side 6 <br> Built: 1942 D. C. Bridge Division and $B$ \& $O R R$. <br> Contractors: Leo Butler Co., Washington, D. C. Approximate cost: $\$ 204,233$




GROUP IV
GRADE SEPARATIONS














KENILWORTH AVENUE OVER EAST CAPITOL STREET Federal Aid Project U 42 (7)

Structural Data
Location: East Capitol Street and Kenilworth Avenue
Type: continuous stringer
Overall length: $215^{\prime}$
Width of roadway: varies from $44^{\prime}$ to $50^{\prime}$
Width of sidewalks: $3^{\prime}$, each
Wertical clearance: $14^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$.
Vertical clearance: 14
Designers: J. E. Greiner Company
Architects: James R. Edmunds Jr., Harbeson, Hough, Livingston, and Larsen
Architects: James R.tler Company
Built: 1955
Approximate cost: $\$ 1,100,000$



## APPENDIX

## Table of Loads and Clearances

Note: The following is a list of highway bridges, compiled by geographical sections of the District of Columbia, over which carriers bearing loads in excess of Posted Limit are allowed to cross under certain conditions by SPECIAL PERMIT only. The loads indicated below must be mobile on multiple-wheeled trailers with a wheel-base of 26 feet or more, and include the weight of the vehicle.

| Nominal \& Posted | Maximum Load |
| :---: | :---: |
| Load Limit | Limit |
| (No Permit Required) | (Permit Required) |
| In Tons | In Tons |

Vertical
Clearance
(Highway)
In Feet

Condition<br>Of Passage<br>Maximum Load

## PLATFORM TRAILERS

Kenilworth Ave. over Watts Branch
Benning Rd. over Anacostia River
New Hampshire Ave. over B\&O RR
Taylor St. over B\&O RR and Brookland Ave.
Monroe St. over B\&O RR
T Street over Washington Terminal Yards
Eastern Ave, under B\&O RR
Minnesota Ave. over Watts Branch
Michigan Ave. over B\&O RR and Puerto Rico Ave.
Franklin St, over B\&O RR
Deane Ave, over Watts Branch
Deane Ave. over Watts Branch
Benning Viaduct over B\&O, PRR and Kenilworth Ave
Benning Viaduct over B\&O, PRR and Kenilworth Ave.
Gault St. over Watts Branch
New York Avenueover Washington Terminal Yard
New York Avenueover Washin
Montana Ave. under B\&O
Queens Chapel Rd over BeO RR
Queens Chapel Rd. over B\&O
Bladensburg Rd. under PRR
Ninth St. over Washington Terminal Yards \& N.Y. Ave.
South Dakota Ave. over B\&O RR
K Street under Washington Terminal Tracks
Florida Ave. under Washington Terminal Tracks
Rhode Island Ave. under Washington Terminal Tracks
Riggs Rd. under B\&O RR
Eastern Ave. over B\&O RR
New York Avenue over PRR

New York Avenue over South Dakota Ave.
East Capitol St. over Anacostia River
Minnesota Ave. over East Capitol St.
35 th Street over East Capitol St.
West approaches to East Capitol St. bridge
Kenilworth Ave. over Deane Ave.
Eastern Ave, over Kenilworth Ave.
Benning Road over Kenilworth Ave. depressed roadway
Division Ave. between Eads St. \& Foote St.
Kansas Avenue under B\&O RR
Other bridges in the northeast section not listed above carry vehicles up to 15 tons gross.

## NORTHEAST



## SOUTHEAST

Alabama Ave, over Military Highway
Nichols Ave. over Military Highway
Portland St. over So. Capitol St
Pennsylvania Ave. over Anacostia River (Sousa)
Eleventh St. over Anacostia River
Wheeler Rd. over Oxon Run
Atlantic St. over Oxon Run
So. Capitol St. over Oxon Run
So. Capitol St. at Southern Avenue
Nichols Ave st, at Souther
New Jersey Ave. over PRR and Virginia Ave.
South Capitol St. over Anacostia

| 25 | 45 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25 | 45 |
| 25 | 45 |
| 25 | 45 |
| 25 | 25 |
| 25 | 45 |
| 25 | 45 |
| 25 | 45 |
| 25 | 45 |
| 25 | 35 |
| 15 | -35 |

In center of roadway
In center of roadway
Along curb
Along curb
In center of roadway. Notify watchman before entering
Along curb
In center of roadway
Along curb
long cur
In center of roadway
No load in excess of posted limit

Other bridges in the southeast section not listed above carry vehicles up to 15 tons gross.

Independence Ave. over Park Drive
Fourteenth St. over Potomac River (South bound)
Fourteenth St. over Potomac River (North bound)
Fourteenth St over Maine Ave, and Hains Point Exit
Fourteenth St. Lower level over Tidal Basin
Maine Ave. under PRR
Eleventh St over PRR
Delaware Ave under PRR
First St under PRR

## Southwest

Other bridges in the southwest section not listed above carry vehicles up to 15 tons gross.

## NORTHWEST

Porter St. over Klingle Rd. and Beach Dr
Piney Branch Rd. under B\&O RR
an Buren St. under B\&O RR
Cedar St. under B\&O RR
Aspen St. under B\&O RR
hain Bridge over Potomac Rive
Wisconsin Ave. over C\&O Canal
Key Bridge over Potomac River
Twenty-third St. over Virginia Ave
Military Rd. over Rock Creek
Sixteenth St. over Piney Branch Rd
Connecticut Ave. over Klingle Rd
Connecticut Ave. over Rock Creek (Taft Bridge)
Calvert St. over Rock Creek
P St. over Rock Crek
M St. over Rock Cree
K St, over Rock Creek
Massachusetts Ave. over Rock Creek
Pennsylvania Ave. over Rock Creek
Thomas Circle Underpass (Mass. Ave. under 14th St.)
cott Circle Underpass (16th St, under Mass. Ave.)
Pierce Mill Rd. over Rock Creek
Twentieth St. over Piney Branch Road
Sixteenth St. over Military Rd.
Whitehurst Freeway
Dupont Circle

Along curb
See note below
See note below
See note below
See note below
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
On inner streetcar rails
Along curb
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
In center of roadway
Along curb
On inner streetcar rails
See note below
See note below
No load in excess of posted limit No load in excess of posted limit Along curb

Other bridges in the northwest section not listed above carry vehicles up to 15 tons gross.
Note: Limits for loads moving on pavements at grade are set forth in Section 155, Article XVII, entitled "Size, Weight, Loading, Inspection and Construction", of the Traffic and Motor Vehicle Regulations for the District of Columbia appended hereto

## AN EXTRACT

of

# THE MOTOR VEHICLE AND TRAFFIC CODE for <br> <br> THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 

 <br> <br> THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA}

As Amended May 1, 1953

ARTICLE XVII

Size, Weight, Loading, Inspection, and Construction

A. It shall be unlawful for any person to drive or move or for the owner to cause or knowingly permit to be driven or moved on any highway or street any vehicle or vehicles of a size or weight exceeding the limitations stated in this Article or otherwise in violation of this Article.
B. The provisions of this Article governing size, weight, and load shall not apply to fire apparatus, or to a vehicle operated under the terms of a special permit issued as herein provided.

## SECTION 153

## Width, Height and Length

(a) Width-The total outside width of any vehicle or the load thereon shall not exceed 6 feet, except that vehicles equipped with pneumatic tires and registered in the District of Columbia before January 1, 1932, may have an over-all width at rear tires not exceeding 106 inches, but in no case shall the width of body, inclusive of load, exceed 8 feet.

No passenger-type vehicle shall be operated on any street or highway with any load carried therein extending beyond the line of the fenders on the left side of such vehicle nor extending more than 6 inches beyond the line of the fenders on the right side thereof.
(b) Height-No vehicle, including any load thereon, shall exceed a height of 12 feet, 6 inches.
(c) Length-No single vehicle, other than a streetcar, including any load thereon, shall have an over-all length, inclusive of front and rear bumpers, in excess of 35 feet.
No combination of vehicles coupled together shall consist of more than two units and no such combination of vehicles shall exceed a total length of 50 feet, subject to the following exceptions: said length limitation shall not apply to vehicles operated in the daytime when transporting poles, pipe, machinery, or other objectts of a structural nature which cannot readily be dismembered, nor to such vehicles transporting such objects operated at nighttime by a public utility when required for emergency repair of public service facilities or properties or when operated under special permit as provided in Section 155 (e), but in respect to such night transportation, every such vehicle and the load thereon shall be equipped with a sufficient number of clearance lamps on both sides and marker lamps upon the extreme ends of any projecting load to clearly mark the dimensions of such load.

The load upon any vehicle operated alone or the load upon the front vehicle of a combination of vehicles shall not extend more than 3 feet beyond the foremost part of the vehicle, and the load upon any vehicle operated alone or the load upon the rear vehicle of a combination of vehicles shall not extend more than 6 feet beyond the rear of the bed or body of such vehicle.
(d) Spilling load-No vehicle other than a streetcar shall be driven or moved on any street or highway unless such vehicle is so constructed or loaded as to prevent any of its load from dropping, sifting, leaking, or otherwise escaping therefrom, except that sand may be dropped for the purpose of securing traction, or water or other substance may be sprinkled on the roadway in cleaning or maintaining such roadway.
(e) Unnecessary noise-No vehicle shall be operated or used in such a manner as to cause unnecessary or disturbing noise.
(f) Loads to be firmly attached-No person shall operate on any highway any vehicles with any load unless said load and any covering thereon is securely fastened so as to prevent said covering or load from becoming loose, detached, or in any manner a hazard to other users of the highway.
(g) Tailgates-No vehicle shall be parked unattended nor left standing upon the streets of the District, except while actuallv being loaded or unloaded, unless the tailgate shall be closed; Provided, however, that this section shall not apply to vehicles so loaded that a portion of the load extends upon and is supported by the tailgate.

## SECTION 154

## Towing

(a) When one vehicle is towing another the drawbar or other connection shall be of sufficient strength to pull all weight towed thereby and said drawbar or other connection shall not exceed 15 feet from one vehicle to the other except the connection between any two vehicles transporting poles, pipes, machinery, or other objects of structural nature which cannot readily be dismembered; provided, however, that where chain, rope or cable is used as the connection between the front and rear vehicle, with no rigid coupling, there shall be a driver for both the front and rear cars, except where the rear car is being towed by a crane or where the rear car is lashed to the front car.
(b) When one vehicle is towing another and the connection consists of a chain, rope, or cable, there shall be displayed upon such connection a white flag or cloth not less than 12 inches square.
(c) Trailer Couplings and Safety Chains:

1. Trailer and semitrailer hitches and couplings shall be of such design and strength values as to conform to the requirements of these regulations.
2. Safety chains shall be used on all trailers and trailer combinations when operated on the streets and highways of the District, in addition to the hitch bar, and connected to the tractor vehicle and the drawn vehicle and of sufficient strength to hold the trailer on a hill if the hitching bar becomes disconnected.

## SECTION 155

## Wheel and Axle Loads-Gross Weights

(a) Permissible Loads-The gross weight imposed upon the highway exerted through the wheels on any one axle of a vehicle shall not exceed 22,000 pounds; provided, that when the wheels attached to said axle are equipped with solid rubber or cushion tires, the gross weight on any one axle shall not exceed 16,000 pounds.
(b) For the purposes of this section, an axle load shall be defined as the total load imposed upon the highway through all wheels whose centers are included within two parallel transverse vertical planes not more than 40 inches apart.
(c) No group of axles shall carry a load in pounds in excess of the value given in the following table corresponding to the distance in feet between the extreme axles of the group, measured longitudinally to the nearest foot; provided, however, that the following table is not applicable to bridges. (See Article XVIII.)

| Distance in <br> feet between <br> the extremes <br> of any group <br> of axles | Maximum load <br> in pounds <br> carried on <br> any group <br> of axles | Distance in <br> feet between <br> the extremes <br> of any group <br> of axles | Maximum load <br> in pounds <br> carried on <br> any group <br> of axles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 38,000 | 25 | 48,990 |
| 5 | 38,000 | 26 | 49,720 |
| 6 | 38,000 | 27 | 50,450 |
| 7 | 38,000 | 28 | 51,180 |
| 8 | 38,000 | 29 | 51,920 |
| 9 | 38,000 | 30 | 52,650 |
| 10 | 38,000 | 31 | 53,490 |
| 11 | 38,730 | 32 | 54,330 |
| 12 | 39,460 | 33 | 55,160 |
| 13 | 40,200 | 34 | 55,980 |
| 14 | 40,930 | 35 | 56,800 |
| 15 | 41,666 | 36 | 57,610 |
| 16 | 42,390 | 37 | 58,420 |
| 17 | 43,130 | 38 | 59,220 |
| 18 | 43,860 | 39 | 60,010 |
| 19 | 44,590 | 40 | 60,800 |
| 20 | 45,320 | 41 | 61,590 |
| 21 | 46,060 | 42 | 62,360 |
| 22 | 46,790 | 43 | 63,130 |
| 23 | 47,520 | 44 | 63,890 |
| 24 | 48,250 | 45 | 64,650 |
|  |  | 46 | 65,400 |
|  |  |  | 24 |

(d) Inspection-Any police officer having reason to believe that the weight of a vehicle and load is unlawful is authorized to require the driver to stop and submit to a weighing of the same by means of either portable or stationary scales and may require that such vehicle be driven to the nearest public scales.

Whenever an officer upon weighing a vehicle and load, as above provided, determines that the weight is unlawful, such officer may require the driver to stop the vehicle in a suitable place and remain standing until such portion of the load is removed as may be necessary to reduce the gross weight of such vehicle to such limit as permitted under these regulations. All material so unloaded shall be cared for by the owner or operator of such vehicle at the risk of such owner or operator.
(e) Special Permits-The Director of Highways may in his discretion, upon application in writing and good cause being shown therefor, issue a special permit in writing authorizing the applicant to operate or move a vehicle or combination of vehicles of a size or weight of vehicle or load exceeding the maximum specified in these regulations, or otherwise not in conformity with the provisions of these regulations. The application for any such permit shall specifically describe the vehicle or vehicles and load to be operated or moved and the particular streets or highways for which permit to operate is requested, and whether such permit is requested for a single trip or for continuous operation.
(f) Special permits issued under the provisions of paragraph (e) of this section by the Director of Highways, may establish seasonal or other limitations within which the vehicles described may be operated on the streets or highways indicated, or otherwise to limit or prescribe conditions of operation of such vehicle or vehicles, when necessary to assure against undue damage to the road foundations, surfaces, or other structures, and may require such undertaking or other security as may be deemed necessary to compensate for any injury to any roadway or road structure. Every such permit shall be carried in the vehicle or combination of vehicles to which it refers and shall be open to inspection by any police officer and no person shall violate any of the terms or conditions of such special permit.
(g) Damage to streets or highways, or highway structures-Any person driving any vehicle, object or contrivance upon any street or highway or highway structure shall be liable for all damage which said street or highway or structure may sustain as a result of any illegal operation, driving, or moving of such vchicle, object, or contrivance, or as a result of operating, driving, or moving any vehicle, object, or contrivance weighing in excess of the maximum weight in these regulations but authorized by a special permit issued as provided in this article. Whenever such driver is not the owner of such vehicle, object, or contrivance, but is so operating, driving, or moving the same with the express or implied permission of said owner, then said owner and driver shall be jointly and severally liable for any such damage

## ARTICLE XVIII

## Bridge Regulations

## SECTION 156

## Vehicles Crossing Bridges

(a) No vehicle, the weight of which, including its load, exceeds the load limit for which any bridge is posted, shall cross the bridge so posted without written permission of the Director of Highways.

Self-propelled motor cranes may be granted annual permits listing the bridges over which such vehicles may not pass. Such permits shall be carried in the vehicle at all times. The crossing of any bridge listed on the permit for such vehicle shall be sufficient cause for revocation of said permit.
(b) No street railway car, the weight of which, including its load, exceeds 64,000 pounds, shall move on or across any bridge. No street railway car on any bridge shall pass two or more cars coupled together either of which latter cars, with its load, weighs more than 50,000 pounds.


[^0]:    Listed at left are former Bridge Engineers and their periods of incumbency. Following the retirement of Mr. H. R. Howser in April 1953, Mr. G. I. Sawyer acted as Engineer of Bridges until the Bridge Division was abolished in August 1953. At that time, under the provisions of the District Commisioners' Reorganization Order No. 53, Mr. Sawyer was assigned as Chief of the Office of Planning, Design and Engineering; the Bridge Design Section was placed under the newly-created Division of Design and Engineering in the Office of Planning, Design and Engineering, while the Bridge Design Engineer; Mr. W. M. Omand, was elevated to head the Design and Engineering Division; and the Bridge Construction Section, under the leadership of Mr. C. A. Wilson, was given independent status as a division.

