

ACMS Stable Patient Extended INR Protocol as a model for reviewing, assessing, and implementing new clinical guidelines into patient care practices.

Jennifer E. Mackey, PharmD; Lynde K. Lutzlow, Scot B. Sternberg, MS; Diane M. Brockmeyer, MD;

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Problem:

- ➤The 2012 update to the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) Guidelines included a recommendation that patients with "consistently stable INR results" on warfarin may extend the INR monitoring interval from the every 4 week standard to "up to 12 weeks."¹
- Anticoagulation Management Service (ACMS) patient care practices must continually evolve to incorporate updated evidence-based guidelines. A formalized process is necessary to review, evaluate, and implement new procedures to reflect current recommendations.

Objectives:

- Establish a model process for review of new clinical recommendations and patient care protocols as they pertain to BIDMC Anticoagulation Management Service (ACMS) patients.
- Create a protocol that incorporates updated INR testing frequency recommendations and standardizes anticoagulation clinic practices.
- > Reduce patient INR testing burden while maintaining safe warfarin therapy.

Context and Intervention:

- The BIDMC ACMS is composed of nurses, pharmacist, and a medical director who manage warfarin care for about 800 patients with primary care doctors in a large academic care practice.
- > ACMS established the below process for new evidence review to be utilized:



- The updated ACCP Guideline INR frequency recommendation and supporting clinical studies were critically evaluated by the ACMS team.
 - Review of primary data led to team assessment that the data for extending test interval to 12 week INR checks are not robust. The team decided on a conservative approach of maximum duration between INR tests of 6 weeks.
- > A Stable Patient Extended INR Testing Protocol was created.
 - > Inclusion, exclusion/discharge criteria were defined:
 - ➤ <u>General inclusion criteria:</u> patients enrolled in ACMS with therapeutic INR results and no maintenance warfarin dose changes for the previous three months.
 - General exclusion/discharge criteria: 80 years or older; home INR monitor use; recurrent thrombotic event or major bleeding history; recent INR values less than 1.5 or greater than 5.0; episodes of being overdue for an INR; requests for more frequent testing.
 - ➤ Eligible patients were offered the option of extending their INR testing frequency to every 6 weeks. The standard process followed by the ACMS includes:
 - Reminding the patient to contact ACMS if there are changes to medications, diet, scheduled procedures, and/or clinical status. This occurs at the time of protocol enrollment and with each subsequent INR assessment.
 - > Informing the patient that more frequent INR tests will be required if subsequent results are outside of goal range; clinically significant to medications, diet, or clinical status occur; warfarin is held as part of a peri-procedural plan; or episodes of being 2 weeks or more overdue for an INR test arise.
 - > Standardized documentation in the electronic medical record was defined.
 - > The protocol was reviewed and a plan to pilot over a 6 to 12 month period was enacted:
 - > Healthcare Associates QI Committee and ACMS Leadership approved the protocol.
 - ACMS staff were trained regarding the new protocol and completed a competency test before proceeding independently with patient assessment and enrollment.
 - > The protocol was initiated into ACMS daily practice in February 2013.

Measurements of Improvement:

- > Clinic adherence to the protocol.
- > Decreased INR test burden and increased convenience for patients.
- > Maintenance of INR results within range and overall safe warfarin care.

Findings to date:

- > Patients were enrolled in the extended INR testing protocol and electronic medical records were reviewed to assess outcomes at 6 and 11 months following piloting of the protocol.
- > Overall staff adherence to the extended INR testing protocol process was 95%.
- > Analysis was performed on patients with at least 12 weeks of data over the last 11 months:

58 patients enrolled
41 males (71%), 17 females (29%)
Average age 67 years (range 41-79)
45 anticoagulated for cardiac condition (78%; 37 patients (82%) with atrial fibrillation/flutter), 13 for DVT/PE (22%)
Duration of anticoagulation: <1 year: 2 (3%); 1-5 years: 31 (53%); 6-10 years: 16 (28%); >10 years: 9 (16%)

46 patients with > 12 weeks data

Average length of time on protocol 40 weeks (range 14-48)

> 402 INR results were recorded

7 402 INK results were recorded.						
	Days	Reasons for early	INR results	INR results	Reasons recorded for	Dose adjustments
	between	INR tests	within goal range	outside goal	out of range INRs	
	INR results			range by	(% of occurrences)	
	[Average			>0.2		
	(range)]					
	29 (1-88)	MD appointment Hospital admission	315 (78%)	88 (22%)	Unknown (48%) Illness (18%)	66 one time changes 32 weekly changes
		Pre/post procedure	38 patients (83%)		Dietary change (16%)	32 Weekly Changes
		Antibiotics	had >65% INRs		Periprocedure (8%)	
		Last INR outside goal			Dosing error (7%)	
					Interacting med (3%)	

- > Clinical events that were noted during the pilot period included:
- > 2 patients stopped warfarin (failure to thrive and apixaban conversion, respectively).
- > 1 patient moved out of state and transitioned to a local anticoagulation service.
- ➤ 13 hospitalization episodes involving 9 patients: influenza-like illness (#2), mechanical fall (#2), failure to thrive (#2), TIA/stroke (INR within goal) (#1), epistaxis (INR 3.39) (#1), atrial fibrillation (#1), atrial tachycardia s/p PVI (#1), leg injury (#1), non-warfarin allergic reaction (#1), ileus (#1).
 - o 2 patients were discharged to rehabilitation facilities following hospitalization.
- ➤ 9 patients had procedures performed: colonoscopy (#3); endoscopy (#1); epidural steroid injection (#1); eye surgery (#1); prostate biopsy and seed placement (#1); PVI (#1); rectal banding (#1)
- ▶ 4 patients were 2 weeks or more overdue for an INR tests. One patient had four overdue episodes of 2-4 weeks. Six subsequent INR tests (86%) were within goal range.
- > No patients met protocol discharge criteria.

Key Lessons Learned:

- > A standardized multidisciplinary process for addressing new clinical guidelines is an effective method for evolving patient care in safe manner.
- > Extending INR interval to 6 weeks in stable patients appears to provide safe care in pilot.
- Next steps include continuing to monitor and track patient success in the pilot program; refining protocol inclusion criteria based on additional data; and standardizing protocol resumption following temporary discontinuation (e.g. out of range INR, overdue episodes).

Acknowledgements:

BIDMC Coumadin Clinic team members include: Patricia Glennon, RN; Lisa Jachowicz, LPN; Marie Mahony, RN; Colleen Monbleau, RN

For More Information, Contact Jennifer E. Mackey, PharmD: jemackey@bidmc.harvard.edu

¹February 2012; 141(2_suppl) Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines