d Hall Meetings

the speaker at the Fordings last Sunday evening, her ling "Are The Public Schools do?" Mostly, she concluded, not, and she forthwith pointing ways in which they may 1 to be. One way is the rethat every human being is tial citizen. When this is sped we shall not continue things in the same old way, the we shall have clearly in our aim in education will be ation of power in the mass.

larged, with the result that, lementary school a condition ble to a "lumber jam" on a river prevails. Continually to the school year and continrequire children to assimiore and more material in a uld not well have any other One help will come when we our method of teaching to trations of our subjects. an aught else, perhaps, do we change in the form of adminisour educational plant. Fathers others, professional folks and s, should all be represented on ool board.

Spencer further urged that the tary schools be shielded from eal of specialization and that operative instead of the companies being the encouraged in class. Speaker next Sunday evening a Professor Vida Scudder, of the college, and her subject, Moral Asset of The Class

Natehonan

larris, canadmitting
lassic, held
, necessary
opinion is
rely a pity
oral teachimpressible
ok to thoual sects to
the impress
of religion

Ford Ball Folks

Vol. I. No. 2.

January 5, 1913.

Price Ten Cents.

IT CRIES FOR MORE.

This is written for the second issue of Ford Hall Folks before I have seen the first number. That is one of the exigencies of periodical publishing. I don't know whether our baby will be still-born or whether it will thrive lustily and cry out for nourishment (more circulation). But I do know there are several score or more of anxious and happy relatives and friends standing around ready to help in any way they can and eager and proud to see the youngster make a good impression at the very start.

As is often the case this baby is costing quite a bit more than we had counted upon. Good printing by reliable men done under proper conditions costs good money, more than we at first thought. As we have no advertising resources we are dependent entirely on sales and subscriptions, Here's the place for these proud and anxious relatives to take hold and help if they really want to see Ford Hall Folks thrive and prosper. We shall need to sell the whole edition every week in order to make both ends meet. Can we do it? There certainly are four hundred people who would like a permanent, authoritative record of our Sunday evening meetings. Can we find them? That is the question, It is not a question of production but of distribution. We shall get a practical illustration of the great commercial fact that it takes brains and energy to distribute merchandise as well as to produce it.

I cannot overemphasize the value this publicity will have to the work we are doing if it is enthusiastically sustained. Its power will be more than doubled by the mere fact that you think enough of it to buy a copy each week. That insures its being read and kept and treasured. That is what gives a publication life. That is what makes it your publication. And remember your comments, suggestions and criticisms are most welcome.

HERE'S AN INVITATION!

Gunge W. Cole

The Ford Hall Folks meet, next Sunday afternoon at 3.30, in Kingsley Hall of the Ford Building. If you are not yet on the list of the "folks" but would like to be, send your name and address at once to Miss Crawford, Room 707, Ford Building. This will mean that you will lend your brain to the consideration of Meetings' business from 3.30 to 5.30 next Sunday and at the latter hour will join us in a little supper for which we each pay twenty-five cents. The "folks" meet in this way once in three weeks.

NEXT SUNDAY'S SPEAKER.

Prof. Vida Scudder of Wellesley College is to be our speaker next Sunday evening, her very interesting topic being, "The Moral Asset of the Class Struggle." Miss Scudder is one of the most inspiring personalities of our time and a woman of remarkable and compelling eloquence. You'll regret it if you do not hear this address—or read it in the Folks of January 19,

tion to the Legislature and nomination of for public office, was ques-domon Lewenberg, an attorhe chairman of the Isaac salgn committee, in a stateght.

replied to the statement of His of the school board that went by letty

is a violatio

to the Editor of The Herald: At the Ford Hall meeting last Sunlay evening I tried value to put a juestion to Mr. Isaac Harris, candidate or the school committee, and to Mrs. Inna Garlin Spencer, the chief speaker.

Mrs. Anna Garnn Spencer of New York was the speaker at the Ford hall meetings last Sunday evening, her subject being "Are The Public Schools Democratic?" Mostly, she concluded, they are not, and she forthwith pointed out five ways in which they may be helned to be One way is the

FORD HALL FOLKS

WHAT ABOUT THOSE LETTERS?

"It isn't the silence of hope unexpressed

That heartens and strengthens the weak:

To triumph through strife for the great things of life-

It's the words of good cheer that we speak."

And write-speak and write. Mr. Coleman, of course, could scarcely be characterized as "weak;" but he certainly will not be so strong as he ought to be in faith and hope for the future if you fail to "hearten" and "strengthen" him as you might by writing him an appreciative letter to use in that book we are planning for the birthday gift! You know what a very busy man he is, yet he finds time every week now to prepare the message which appear over his signature in this paper. About half that much time given by you just this one week in a letter would "hearten" him immensely. Do it now!

RECENTLY SAID HERE.

Walter Rauschenbusch.

Socialism is the necessary spiritual product of capitalism. It has been formulated by that class which has borne the sins of capitalism in its own body and knows them by heart. It stands for the holy determination of that wronged and embittered class to eliminate these sins forever from the social life of mankind. Thus socialism is the historical Nemesis of Capitalism and follows it like its shadow.

Stephen S. Wise.

"The church in the past has been altogether too supine in its attitude towards poverty. When Christ said 'the poor ye have always with you,' he was stating a fact, not making a prophecy and the church has been quite wrong in its acceptance of poverty as a thing of which God approves. There is no such thing, in my opinion, as 'God's poor,' when the poverty is involuntary; voluntary poverty, like Tolstoi's, is quite another matter.

THE PRAYER.

(Preceding Prof. Fagnani's Lecture.)

For the brotherhood of the race we thank Thee, Great Father of us all. Dimly as we sense it, our hearts leap with joy the more we realize it. Nothing thrills us so much as to feel the flame of universal love burning within. We thank Thee that we are capable of it. We rejoice in the experience and we pray most earnestly that we may never suffer the light to be snuffed out in our own lives. May this love of one another spread throughout the world until it covers all humanity as the water covers the

Help us to see that brotherliness in personal relationships is democracy in government and that the same principles must be carried into all relations of life, industrial, commercial and social if we are to be thoroughgoing brothers in any phase of life. Do Thou grant us an undying hope, an unfaltering courage, and an inexhaustible patience that we, each one of us, may contribute our share toward this great end. Amen.

GOD AND DEMOCRACY.

Address of Prof. Charles Prospero Fagnani at Ford Hall Meetings, December 29.

God and Democracy. That is the greatest subject in the world. I do not say subjects because God and Democracy are one. You cannot separate God and Democracy, that is the God of the Hebrew prophets and of Jesus of Nazareth. And you cannot separate Democracy from God. For if we believe in Democracy, we

believe in God's God's ideal, and God.

If we say that that commits us the world. I was that what I mea something special of Democracy tha you about now Texas paper, writ last election.

"Praise God fron flow,

Bring forth the grow. The party banner Let blessing hear Let trumpets blo Let everybody da Democracy! Oh, The one for who Has won, and no We'll dwell henc

ers. In custom houses It surely is a tim

This is one ki Democracy, when it, is the thing t Lord's Prayer w be done on ear Heaven." It m God on earth. is the Jewish te term for democi ble to carry you nection with son

I want to as with me in rega The first of that I will assu lieve in change velopment, in j that you believe to be," that pr our God is a liv is "Behold, I n that what man better and man

We cannot go tutions but we on our guard v tions. Institution less antiquated institutions unt Now an egg-she the living chic sacrificed to th stitution. I ha gard to the co stitution is on

and morality in which all sects agree.

UPOR THE CHIRACH THE STORE IMPORTAGE PRINCIPAGE

Boston Common

dates for f d today. The this: mis said she

peets of a put

school matter ardly thought expense of hid heard this blighted and came forwai turnish a hal about been Curtls avoid last night of and Miss Cur n error. No bile Mlss Curt made a sped because she Wells Mem its had this f dd be delighted questions v portunity were seems essent the expense a debate. Tls came bad

ald be deligi whool questly not merely for see and hea and get their t interest the such a deba not in the spir to spirit of fair g to pay the lady should b

ls news was les Joseph Democratic McManus of th inittee each the discussion

Iall Meetings

RAYER.

Fagnani's Lecture.)
nood of the race we
t Father of us all.
it, our hearts leap
ore we realize it.
so much as to feel
versal love burning
k Thee that we are
e rejoice in the expray most earnestly
er suffer the light to
our own lives. May
ne another spread
corld until it covers

that brotherliness in ships is democracy in that the same princarried into all reladustrial, commercial are to be thoroughn any phase of life, an undying hope, an age, and an inexhaust we, each one of us, our share toward this ships in the ships and the same toward this ships in the ships i

DEMOCRACY.

of. Charles Prospero ord Hall Meetings, ember 29.

in the world. I do
in the world. I do
is because God and
one. You cannot
id Democracy, that is
ilebrew prophets and
careth. And you canbe because God.
in Democracy, we

FORD HALL FOLKS

believe in God's plan, God's purpose, God's ideal, and that is believing in God.

If we say that we believe in God that commits us to his program for the world. I want to say, of course, that what I mean by Democracy is something special. It is not the kind of Democracy that I am going to read you about now in a poem from a Texas paper, written shortly after the last election.

"Praise God from whom all blessings flow,

Bring forth the crops and let them grow.

The party banner raise on high
Let blessing hearts reach to the sky.
Let trumpets blow, let people shout
Let everybody dance with glee
Democracy! Oh, bless the day!
The one for whom we oft did pray
Has won, and now the jobs are ours.
We'll dwell henceforth in fairest bow-

In custom houses we'll hold sway— It surely is a time to pray!

This is one kind of democracy, but Democracy, when we conjoin God with it, is the thing that we ask for in the Lord's Prayer when we say "Thy will be done on earth as it is done in Heaven." It means the kingdom of God on earth. The kingdom of God is the Jewish term and the Christian term for democracy. I want if possible to carry you along with me in connection with some presuppositions:

I want to assume that you agree with me in regard to certain matters. The first of the three suppositions that I will assume is that you all believe in change, in perfection, in development, in progress, in evolution, that you believe that "the best is yet to be," that progress is divine, that our God is a living God whose motto is "Behold, I make all things new," that what man has done, man can do better and man must do better.

We cannot get along without institutions but we have always got to be on our guard with respect to institutions. Institutions are always more or less antiquated; they cannot become institutions until they are antiquated. Now an egg-shell is an institution but the living chick inside must not be sacrificed to the integrity of that institution. I have read this with regard to the constitution. "The constitution is one of the few formal

documents without which a democracy is impossible, it professes that progress cannot be made by providing that things shall not change. It was a happy compromise of a wrangle by our forefathers, than whom nobody since has been wiser. The beauty of it is that it can mean almost anything but it takes a Supreme Court, or a majority thereof to decide what it means; it has lasted so long and meant so many things that it is now famous."

Conservatism, reputable and respectable, though it claims to be, is in essence, opposition to God, that is to the living God. It is sincerely reverent of a God who did things long ago. Conservatism justifies its opposition to progress by claiming that without it, progress would be too fast and it uses figures of speech and says that uses figures of speech and says that brakes are necessary to the charlot of progress. That all depends on which way the charlot is headed. If we believe in God, we believe that the charlot is going up hill, and not down. No man in his senses, would apply the brakes in going up hill; and progress is always uphill.

So we sometimes hear that unbridled democracy is a bad thing. It is not difficult to understand what unbridled democracy is. It is democracy without a bridle and we presume that democracy without a bridle is a free democracy, one which may wander whither-so-ever it listeth. Is that a good thing or a bad thing? Was the American Revolution, for instance, a form of bridled or unbridled democracy. Now if democracy is going to wear the bridle, who is going to put it on and who is going to hold the reins?

Now, I will assume that you believe in progress, in perfection. Unfortunately, not everyone does, and I am paying you a big compliment. Men have been taught to be afraid of change, to oppose it on principle. We constantly hear people say, "What has been good enough for our forefathers ought to be good enough for us," And that is the people's sentiment in many quarters. Why, I have even heard it applied to Hell. I know of a Presbyterian who said, "For my part, I believe in an old fashioned hell, a hell that was good enough for my father is good enough for me." Now the fact is, that something that was good enough for our father, is not good

adopted by the school board, which prohibits teachers from petitioning or giving information to the Legislature and from assisting in the nomination of candidates for public office, was questioned by Solomon Lewenberg, an attorney and the chairman of the Isaac Harris compaign committee, in a statement last night,

Lewenberg replied to the statement of Chairman Ellis of the school board that.

the indorsement by lett Head Master Thomas a high school is a violatio

HINKS MARRIED WOMEN SHOULD TEACH.

to the Editor of The Herald: At the Ford Hall meeting last Sunlay evening I tried valuty to put a juestion to Mr. Isaac Harris, candidate or the school committee, and to Mrs. inna Garlin Spencer, the chief speaker. Ford Hall Meetings

Mrs. Anna Garlin Spencer of New York was the speaker at the Ford hall meetings last Sunday evening, her subject being "Are The Public Schools Democratic?" Mostly, she concluded, they are not, and she forthwith pointed out five ways in which they may

FORD HALL FOLKS

HALLSOFF

The prospects of a pu Miss Frances G. Curtis rival candidates for looked good today. Th deceded to this:

Miss Harris said she to discuss school matter but she hardly thought go to the expense of his Mr. Harris heard this would be delighted and hall. Then came forwa offered to furnish a ha

This came about been that Miss Curtis avoid Mr. Harris last night at Mr. Harris and Miss Cur this was an error. No uled and while Miss Curt Mr. Harris made a spee he finished because she gagement at Wells Mem

Miss Curtis had this t I should be delighter He school questions if the opportunity were it hardly seems essent to go to the expense for such a debate. Mr. Harris cante buc

Joinder : I should be deligt public school question Curits, not merely for debate, but to give chance to see and her diunces and get their

tions that interest the I wish such a deba ranged, not in the spirit but in the spirit of fair be willing to pay the hall and treat M.ss C timable lady should b

thuable may should be When this news was litical circles Joseph B Ward 24 Democratic Chairman McManus of th cratic Committee each of a hall for the discussion

Boston)

enough for us. Our fathers, if alive today, would want better than they had in their time and would reproach us for our idolatry for their out-worn and antiquated institutions and constitutions and everything else.

Do you suppose that if George Washington was in Boston to-morrow and he wanted to go to New York, (as he probably would) that he would prefer the stage coach to the Bay State Limited? Not much, I can speak for George.

I believe that you take the helpful view and the divine view and that you are not afraid of progress.

Now, my second presupposition is this. I will take for granted that you believe with me in the essential dignity and worth of goodness, of human nature; that you do not believe in total depravity; at least not as much as you used to; that you agree with me that what human nature needs is not so much a change as a chance. Humanity has never had a fair chance yet. To believe in God is to believe in men. We may take Jesus of Nazareth for an example in this mat-The supreme believer in God was the supreme believer in his fellow men. I will assume that you share the confidence of Jesus in human nature, that you believe that man would rather be decent than not; that woman would rather be decent than That men would prefer the approbation of their fellows to their scorn, that men would be infinitely better if they had more help and fewer handicaps. I know, however, that many cherish the doctrine of total depravity, like the old lady who said, "If you take away my total depravity, I won't have any religion

My third supposition is this: that you believe in freedom, in liberty. This follows necessarily. If man is inherently trustworthy, you are not afraid to "loose him and let him go." I will assume that you agree with me that men were made for freedom and not for bondage, that men flourish best when most free, that all the wars of humanity throughout history can be traced back to some form of oppression, of coercion of man by man.

Let me trace with you the historical connection between religion and demceracy. I would like to take you on an aeroplane trip across the mountain peaks of the Bible. The dawning of

democracy goes back to Moses, about 1200 years before Christ, more than 3000 years ago. Moses was a labor agitator who headed a successful strike, the result of which was a permanent lock-out on their own part of a number of Hebrew slaves who laid down their tools and marched out of Egypt never to go back again. Moses is the great type of class conscious emancipàtor. You see, Moses had the choice of continuing to be the favorite of the Egyptian King as the adopted son of Pharoah's daughter, but he preferred to make common cause with his own people.

The Jews became the custodians of the world. We must understand the story of their development. There are few Jews that know the story themselves. The Jews are not a pure race any more than any other race is pure. They are a mixed race. Their religion has been a compromise between two distinct elements that may be described as Jehovistic and Baelistic, the religion of the Amarites with which it was assimilated. Now Judiaism is the result of a mixture of these two elements; and Christianity, which absorbed the essence of Judialsm absorbed those two strains. You find two different ideals fighting for the mastery, the brotherly love of the nomads and the ritualistic religion of the city civilization. You find two dif-erent ideas of God. The Hebrew idea of God, that put the emphasis on justice, and the Cananite idea which put it on sacrifice and ritualism. You find two different ideals of Society, one in which brotherhood is the ideal, the other marked by class distinctions. Now, these two diverging lines have come down to us of the present day, the religion of the priests and the religion of the prophets, religions of the priestly type which puts the emphasis on the things to be done to God, and the other which cares about the things that we are to do for our brother man. The Hebrew prophets were tribunes of the people—the dauntless and fearless arraigners of Kings, and Princes and Governments. The Hebrew priests had the idea that God needed to be placated by gifts and sacrifices and even by human sacrifices. The prophets boldly stood forth against tyranny and oppression whether in the Church or in the State. Their entire zeal was not for the services of the

bold from the w about shrine you f who Lwis tire you borro chapt get i cent GlobeNα reth

prop

ality

the

shrine

social

and bi

vou as r see prop port by (espo you not but kins tha con are nec tha full for the Pra dai ste

ha toı thi pre dia

tli łu fre an

upon the chiaren the group randamental principles of and morality in which all sects agree,

Broton Common

es back to Moses, about fore Christ, more than go. Moses was a labor headed a successful sult of which was a perout on their own part of Hebrew slaves who laid ols and marched out of lo go back again. Moses type of class conscious You see, Moses had the inuing to be the favorite ian King as the adopted h's daughter, but he pree common cause with his

ord Hall Meetings Anna Garlin Spencer of New was the speaker at the Ford eetings last Sunday evening, her being "Are The Public Schools ratic?" Mostly, she concluded, re not, and she forthwith pointfive ways in which they may

ecame the custodians of Ne must understand the development. There are it know the story them-Jews are not a pure race n any other race is pure. ixed race. Their religion compromise between two ients that may be dehovistic and Baelistic, the · Amarites with which it ed. Now Judiaism is the nixture of these two ele-Christianity, which alassence of Judiaism abtwo strains. You find ideals fighting for the brotherly love of the the ritualistic religion of zation. You find two dif-i God. The Hebrew idea put the emphasis on jus-Cananite idea which put and ritualism. You find ideals of Society, one in rhood is the ideal, the l by class distinctions. wo diverging lines have o us of the present day, if the priests and the reprophets, religions of the which puts the emphasis to be done to God, and ch cares about the things do for our brother man. prophets were tribunes -the dauntless and fears of Kings, and Princes ints. The Hebrew priests that God needed to be gifts and sacrifices and uman sacrifices. The lly stood forth against oppression whether in the the State. Their entire for the services of the

shrine and of the temple, but for the social relations of men through justice and brotherliness. I am going to make bold to read to you some passages from the Hebrew prophets. Listen to the words of Amos which he spoke about the year 750 B. C. in the Hebrew shrine at Bethel. I will next read to you from the prophet named Ezekiel, who lived some century or more later. I wish I had the time to read the entire 34th chapter of Ezekiel. I want you when you go home to take, beg, borrow or steal a Bible, find the 34th chapter of Ezekiel, and see if you don't get news there that will be more recent than any you can find in the Globe tomorrow morning.

Now, whatever else Jesus of Nazareth was, he was the successor of the prophets and the greatest of them. All the stress of his tremendous personality was put on loving men. I want you to hear some of the words of Jesus as recorded by tradition and you will see why he is to rank among the prophets of the early times. He is reported as having begun his ministry by claiming happiness for poor people especially. "Happy are the poor for yours is the kingdom of God." It does not mean that they were happy then but were going to be happy when the kingdom of God came. "Happy are ye that hunger for the kingdom of God is coming and then you shall eat. Happy are ye that weep." Whereas in connection with that was, "Woe unto you that are rich, woe unto you that are full now and have all that you need, for ye shall hunger for the things that the kingdom gives." In the Lord's Prayer we find, "Give us this day our daily bread"; that includes chops, steaks, bread and butter. Some people have the idea that Jesus was too hightoned to have anything to do with the things necessary to us, and they interpret "bread" as spiritual food. Jesus did not mean anything of the kind. He meant substantial bread, bread made out of flour, whole flour.

Now, I am going to tell you some-

thing that none of you know, absolutely none. I am going to read you from the revised version, and then I am going to tell you what Jesus really said and the way it should be trans-

"When he saw the multitude he was moved with compassion for them, because they were distressed and scattered as sheep not having a shepherd."

I am going to tell you the way that it is in the Greek, the way it should be put:

"When he saw the multitude, he was filled with compassion for them because they were skinned, flayed alive."

It was indeed distress, but we translate it better when we understand that it means skinned, and that the word "skinned" means thrown to the ground, bleeding, with their hide off, and left to shift for themselves.

Jesus did not believe in benefac-tions or benefactors, nor in paternalism. He did not believe in a state of Society in which some people would accumulate so much money that they would not know what to do with it. We must have no benefactors because we must be in a position to do our benefactions for ourselves. And now the last passage:
"Call no man your father upon

earth."

If this is not a solar-plexus blow to paternalism!

"Neither be ye called masters, for

one is your master."

You all see how Jesus feels about this matter. But listen to what an eminent representative of the churches has said in regard to paternalism.
"A fatherly interest and sympathetic

relation between employer and employed would solve the entire difference between labor and capital."

The Christianity of Jesus is democracy; it is the kingdom of Heaven. It is the social order in which human groups are organized as brothers to manage their own affairs for the highest welfare of all the members of the

group.

Democracy is fraternalism brotherhood as over against paternalism. It is reciprocity as over against benefactions and charities. It is cooperation versus competition. Democracy is going to do away with the stratification of society into classes. Listen to what is said by another representative of the church: "The churches need to be Christianized, the churches need to be democratized, the churches need to be fraternalized."
And I can say it with all the better grace, because I belong to the church.

Did you read that pitiful story of the young Greek, aged seventeen, who saved enough money to bring his

fç

ii The

oir

to the Editor of The Herald! At the Ford Hall meeting last Sunlay evening I tried valuty to put a juestion to Mr. Isaac Harris, candidate or the school committee, and to Mrs. Anna Garlin Spencer, the chief speaker.

York was the speaker at the Ford hall meetings last Sunday evening, her subject being "Are The Public Schools Democratic?" Mostly, she concluded, they are not, and she forthwith pointed out five ways in which they may The helmed to he offen way to he man

FORD HALL FOLKS

sister to this country, but who will probably have to be deported? starved himself into insanity. He got \$1,25 a day and lived on twenty-five cents a day. He paid \$1.00 a week for a room and bought no food but lived on the scraps his fellow countrymen gave him. Yet out of his wages he had saved \$20 to bring his sister here! That is the sort of feeling that the people of the old country have with regard to America. What a responsibility that puts upon us.

When shall we have more democracy in this country? Whenever we want it earnestly and intelligently enough. Whenever we shall be determined to have God's will done in the United States as it is done in Heaven. God is on the side of the people. Who can be against us? In that day patriotism will be a bigger thing than love of country. It will mean love of

one's countrymen.

Does the speaker be-Question: lieve that Jesus Christ was a labor agitator, and that the Jews crucified

Answer: He was an agitator and was prosecuted by the Roman Government at the instigation of the Jewish authorities.

Does not the speaker Question: consider it worth while to mention other religions than the doctrines of the Hebrews?

Answer: I spoke one hour and seven minutes and did the best I could.

Q. Has the Jewish race fulfilled its mission in this world?

It has, it is and it is going to. What does the speaker think of the interpretation of the word "char-

There is distinct advantage in the Revised version of 1. Cor., the word love taking the place of the word charity in the King James ver-

Q. How is it that the ruling classes do not have the idea of democracy which God has and if the common people were raised up would they not have the same ideas that the ruling classes have now?

A. It is not a question of putting the "outs" in and the "ins" out, taking down those above and putting up those below. It is the believing in Intherhood. There are plenty of the It is the believing in ruling class who would be glad to see democracy come as individuals and

many of them are helping to bring it We are all pretty decent on along. the whole and while we can speak against classes we must be careful not to speak against individual members of the classes. We are all human and there is good and bad in all of us.

Q. What is your personal opinion of the political parties of America and which one stands for greater pro-

A. If you will promise not to tell any one, my private opinion is that the party which has the highest ideals and which is going to do the most of all the parties that exist at the present time, is the Socialist party.

Q. If the Christians would interpret Jesus as a great liberator of men as the Jews do Moses would not that prevent a good deal of misunderstanding between them and the Jews?

A. I think it would.

Give us your reasons for believing in God?

A. Why simply the reason that

Voltaire gave: if there was not such a God, we would have to invent Him. We have got to assume God, and until

you can get some better hypothesis that will have to hold.

Q. If we have democracy won't it be just to have an industrial democ-

racy as well as a political?

When we have democracy that will of course include industries. We have a little democracy in this makebelieve parcels post just beginning to start on Wednesday.

Q. What is the message of the resurrection concerning democracy?

A. The message of hope, in spite

of death, hell and everything.

Q. If democracy means so much why don't they teach it to children in the schools and thoroughly imbue -

A. Because we are not yet democratic enough to teach it in our public schools.

Q. Is preaching alone sufficient or is it not?

Nothing alone is sufficient. We must have as many different ways to get at it as we can think of,

Q. Where does George Moore get his authority that Jesus was not crucified but was put to death in some other way?

A. I do not know. There are a great many fanciful notions regarding this.

Q. Why then, in view of ment of the Professor, is much prejudice against today?

A. Because the Christ abused the Jews and we prejudiced against people (

iure.

Q. In view of Jesus' t the Sermon on the Mou violence and force how ca tian-spirited government be force?

A. No government is Christian and most govern very far from it. It is o Christianize the Governmen

Q. What is your opin Hebrew prophets as relat

Messiah?

A. It cannot be summe sentence; there were man of Messianic hope among One that he would come the Kingdom of God. Ano would not come until a established.

The Messianic hope of Its essence was that a g

coming.

Q. Are not human be ognal? Why, then, are th equal mentally?

They are, practical they are more nearly ed generally believed and the are due to education and CONCLUSION

I want to say in closin greatly indebted to you, and to your wonderful lea Coleman, for the privilege ing you tonight. Not tempt me away from New winter time but just the of meeting this wondert in Ford Hall, and I hope tinue to thrive and prost

SOCIALISM IN COL

Reports Which Show W The Movement Is I (From the New Yor

Judging from reports graduate delegates to the nual convention of the Socialist Society, which sions yesterday in Miss Stokes' studio, 90 Grove ism is certain to become most popular college

upon the charten the great tundamental principles and morality in which all sects agree.

Boston Command

them are helping to bring it Ve are all pretty decent on e and while we can speak lasses we must be careful not against individual members usses. We are all human and good and bad in all of us.

nat is your personal opinion political parties of America In one stands for greater pro-

you will promise not to tell my private opinion is that (y which has the highest and which is going to do the all the parties that exist at sent time, is the Socialist

the Christians would interpret a great liberator of men as do Moses would not that prebood deal of misunderstanding them and the Jews? hink it would.

ve us your reasons for ben God?

hy simply the reason that gave: if there was not such a

would have to invent Him. got to assume God, and until get some better hypothesis have to hold.

we have democracy won't it to have an industrial democ-

well as a political?

hen we have democracy that burse include industries. We title democracy in this makearcels post just beginning to Wednesday.

nat is the message of the ion concerning democracy?

e message of hope, in spite

hell and everything. democracy means so much

they teach it to children in sols and thoroughly imbue it?

ause we are not yet demough to teach it in our public

preaching alone sufficient or

hing alone is sufficient. We as many different ways to us we can think of.

re does George Moore get ity that Jesus was not cruciwas put to death in some

o not know. There are a y fanciful notions regarding

Q. Why then, in view of the state—were ment of the Professor, is there so women

FORD HALL FOLKS

much prejudice against the Jews today?

A. Because the Christians have abused the Jews and we are always prejudiced against people that we injure.

Q. In view of Jesus' teaching in the Sermon on the Mount against violence and force how can a Christian-spirited government be based on force?

A. No government is thoroughly Christian and most governments are very far from it. It is our task to Christianize the Government.

Christianize the Government.
Q. What is your opinion of the Hebrew prophets as relating to the Messiah?

A. It cannot be summed up in a sentence; there were many varieties of Messianic hope among the Jews. One that he would come to establish the Kingdom of God. Another that he would not come until after it was established.

The Messianic hope of the Jews in its essence was that a good time is

coming.

Q. Are not human beings created equal? Why, then, are they not born equal mentally?

A. They are, practically; I think they are more nearly equal than is generally believed and that differences are due to education and environment. CONCLUSION.

I want to say in closing that I am greatly indebted to you, my friends, and to your wonderful leader here, Mr. Coleman, for the privilege of addressing you tonight. Nothing would tempt me away from New York in the winter time but just the opportunity of meeting this wonderful gathering in Ford Hall, and I hope you will continue to thrive and prosper.

SOCIALISM IN COLLEGES.

Reports Which Show What Progress
The Movement Is Making.

(From the New York Sun.)
Judging from reports by the undergraduate delegates to the fourth annual convention of the Intercollegiate Socialist Society, which began its sessions yesterday in Miss Helen Phelps Stokes' studio, 90 Grove street, Socialism is certain to become one of the most popular college sports. They

were very earnest young men and women who met in Miss Stokes' pleasant, picture-hung room, but it was evident that they found keen enjoyment in faculty and Philistine undergraduate opposition to their chapters, as the individual organizations are called.

The delegate from Yale who, like several of his brother delegates, spoke with a noticeable foreign accent, told how opposition, largely from the student body in this case, had built up the New Haven chapter until it was the largest and most active in the society. There had been, he reported, an attempt to destroy the society, or at least to sever its connection with the parent body, on the ground that it was "contrary to the democratic spirit and traditions of dear old Yale." An attempt was made, he declared, to make the chapter over into "a sort of Sunday school affair" and re-name it the Society for the Study of Social Problems.

When this move had been defeated, it was found that the University authorities had trebled the rent for the use of a college building for the chapter's meetings. But Professor Emory came forward with a good word for Socialism, the economic department subscribed generously to the fund, and, with the internecine trouble-makers ousted from the organization, but still enough trouble left to be stimulating, things were going nicely at Yale.

J. G. Phelps Stokes, president of the Intercollegiate Socialist Society, who presided over the convention, said that as a Yale man he could understand the difficulty of running a Socialist society in so conservative a place. Incidentally his brother, Anson Phelps Stokes, is treasurer of Yale University. Amherst reported that its chapter was getting action by debating Socialism with the Civics Club and expects to stimulate the mental growth of the members of the Civics Club noticeably. At Williams, Socialists are looked upon as "queer specimens," according to thapter,

Delegate Humphreys of the Springfield Y. M. C. A. Training School raised a laugh when he said in a way that showed it seemed to him a surprising thing that the Socialist chapter "stands well with the faculty and has its cooperation." The Connecticut

ammion

the school board, which pro-hers from petitioning or givation to the Legislature and sting in the nomination of for public office, was ques-Solomon Lewenberg, an attorthe chairman of the Isaac paign committee, in a state-

right.

Fig. replied to the statement of fills of the school board that coment by lette for Thomas of i is a violation

HINKS MARRIED WOMEN SHOULD TEACH.

to the Editor of The Herald: At the Ford Hall meeting last Sunlay evening I tried valuty to put a juestion to Mr. Isaac Harris, candidate or the school committee, and to Mrs. Inna Garlin Spencer, the chief speaker.

Ford Hall Meetings

Mrs. Anna Garlin Spencer of New York was the speaker at the Ford hall meetings last Sunday evening, her subject being "Are The Public Schools Democratic?" Mostly, she concluded, they are not, and she forthwith pointed out five ways in which they may he helped to he One way is the re-

FORD HALL FOLKS

pects of a pub nces G. Curtis wiidates for t ed today. Th this:

tarris said she school matter burdly thought expense of hir heard this delighted and en came forwa o furnish a hal ame about beca Curtis avoid is last night ai s and Miss Cur while Miss Curt is made a spec al because she at Wells Mem write had this t ould be delightei mol questions opportunity wer dly seems essent to the expense n debate. tarris came bai

ould be deligi school questi not merely for but to give to see and het and get their that interest the h such a debi he spirit of ful Ing to pay the lady should b this news was iteles Joseph **!** Democratic McManus of th the discussion

restond

Agricultural College chapter reported an interesting struggle with a faculty that has tried vainly to halt its progress by decreeing that no college building could be used for political

Of the chapter at Barnard the young woman delegate reported that it was struggling against lack of in-terest. The general attitude "makes the students watch what we are doing without wanting to join." Columbia reported a chapter of twenty-nine members, twelve on the active list, and Cornell boasted of a chapter membership of thirty-five, with thirty "real" Socialists.

At the College of the City of New York, on the other hand, there was almost "too much enthusiasm," said the spokesman of the students there. He added that while there was no "official organization" there were over 1000 Socialists in the college; in fact the entire undergraduate body was Socialist, and the professors in the economics department had to wage daily warfare with heckling Socialists who asked embarrassing questions.

New York University's agitator complained that the student body was not anti-Socialist but "just indifferent." The faculty, he said, was not hostile to the college Socialists. The faculty of the New York Dental College was reported to be very active in its oppo-sition, and there again the chapter was flourishing.

Down at Princeton, Delegate Alex-

ander reported, the path of the Socialist is altogether too smooth. He said that the faculty quite approved of the chapter, believing it harmless, and its meetings a safer outlet for undergraduate enthusiasms than the college inn. And he complained that the undergraduates approved too, saying: "Fine work! Keep it up, old man. We're all Socialists in theory, you know. We'll keep the world going and you do your best for Socialism."

Next year, said Mr. Alexander, the Princeton Socialists are going to drop "the chloroform bottle and butterfly net and resort to the hammer." Debs was coming down to help them. he added.

Delegate McDonald of the Meadville Theological School said that eight out of the entering class of ten this year had joined the chapter, and that eight members of twenty-four were carrying the "red card" showing them to be members of the national Socialist party. He was applauded when he asked the I. S. S. to "protest against college men engaging in scabbing and strike-breaking." The young woman delegate from the George Washington University chapter said that the strong religious and conservative feeling among the faculty and undergraduates made it hard for the chapter to gain in membership.

Harry W. Laidler of Wesleyan, organizer for the I. S. S., reported that the chapters had increased from thirty-eight to fifty-nine since the previous convention, and that there are now nearly 1000 members of the undergraduate chapters, but not all of

them are Socialists.

SUBSCRIPTION BLANK

Name	••			 • • • • •	• • • • •	 	 	• • • •		 • • • • •	••••	 ••	<i>.</i>	
Address	,.			 		 	 		• • • • •	 		 •••		
Amount	E	nelo	sed	 		 	 			 • • • • •		 •••		

[Remit to J. S. London, Room 707, Ford Building, Boston.]



'upon the chimen the Breat inhommental principles and morality in which all sects agree.

Broton Common